

# **BRACED Niger Impact Evaluation: Technical Annex**

# Table of contents

<b>Annex 1: Modified version of the HFIAS questionnaire used for the EA3 survey in Niger</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Annex 2: Items in the 12 food groups used in the HDDS questionnaire</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Annex 3: Village sampling</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Annex 4: Result of logit model testing the effect of the SUR1M project on the probability that a household affected by seasonal drought (shock/stressor) responds by ‘reducing food consumption’ (negative coping strategy)</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Annex 5: Details of the 25 logit models estimated across the five major shocks/stressors and five main types of responses – detrimental coping strategies</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>Appendix 6. Details of the 10 logit models estimated across the 5 major shocks/stressors and 2 main types of adaptive/transformational strategies</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>Appendix 7. PSM intermediary calculations and models for Hypothesis 2.</b>	<b>42</b>
<b>Appendix 8. PSM intermediary calculations and models for Hypothesis 3.</b>	<b>50</b>

## Annex 1: Modified version of the HFIAS questionnaire used for the EA3 survey in Niger

<b>Q8. Pourcentage de ménages avec une faim modérée ou sévère</b>			
Question		Options de réponse	Code
<b>Q8. D1</b>	Au cours des [4 dernières semaines/30 jours], y a -il eu des jours il y n'y avait absolument rien à manger à la maison, de quelque nature que ce soit à cause du manque de ressources ?	0 = Non (passer à D2) 1 = Oui	__
<b>Q8. D1a</b>	Combien de fois est-ce arrivé au cours des [4 dernières semaines/30 jours] ?	1 = Rarement (1 à 2 fois) 2 = Parfois (3 à 10 fois) 3 = Souvent (plus que 10 fois)	__
<b>Q8. D2</b>	Au cours des [4 dernières semaines/30 jours], étiez-vous ou tout membre de votre ménage obligé de dormir affamé le soir parce qu'il n'y avait pas assez de nourriture ?	0 = Non (passer à D3) 1 = Oui	__
<b>Q8. D2a</b>	Combien de fois est-ce arrivé au cours des [4 dernières semaines/30 jours] ?	1 = Rarement (1 à 2 fois) 2 = Parfois (3 à 10 fois) 3 = Souvent (plus que 10 fois)	__
<b>Q8. D3</b>	Au cours des [4 dernières semaines/30 jours], est ce que vous ou un autre membre de votre ménage a du réduire le nombre de repas dans la journée parce qu'il n'y avait pas assez de nourriture ou pas assez d'argent pour acheter?	0 = Non 1 = Oui	__
<b>Q8. D3a</b>	Combien de fois est-ce arrivé au cours des [4 dernières semaines/30 jours] ?	1 = Rarement (1 à 2 fois) 2 = Parfois (3 à 10 fois) 3 = Souvent (plus que 10 fois)	__

## Annex 2: Items in the 12 food groups used in the HDDS questionnaire

### Q7. Le score moyen de diversité alimentaire des ménages

Au cours des dernières 24 heures, c'est-à-dire, la journée et la nuit d'hier, est-ce que votre ménage a consommé les aliments inscrits au tableau suivant :

Pour le remplissage, inscrivez **1 si l'aliment est consommé ; 0 si l'aliment n'est pas consommé**

N°	Ingrédients	Consommation au cours des dernières 24 heures
<b>1.</b>	<b>Céréales :</b>	
	▪ Mil	
	▪ Sorgho	
	▪ Maïs	
	▪ Riz	
	▪ Blé/Pâte alimentaire/Pain	
	▪ Fonio	
	▪ Couscous	
<b>2.</b>	<b>Racines et tubercules</b>	
	▪ Patate douce	
	▪ Manioc/ <i>Garin Roggo</i>	
	▪ Ignames	
	▪ Pommes de terre	
	▪ Tarot ( <i>Mankani</i> )	
<b>3.</b>	<b>Légumineuses</b>	
	▪ Niébé/Haricot	
	▪ Voandzou	
	▪ Doliques (Dan wari)	
	▪ Néré/Soumbala	
	▪ Lentilles	
<b>4.</b>	<b>Oléagineux (huile, matières grasses)</b>	
	▪ Arachide/Tourteaux/huile	
	▪ Soja/huile	
	▪ Sésame	
	▪ Soumbala /Graines d'oseille	
	▪ Palmier/huile	
	▪ Graines de courges	
<b>5.</b>	<b>Légumes</b>	
	▪ Chou	
	▪ Salades	
	▪ Oignons	
	▪ Tomates	
	▪ Poivrons	
	▪ Courges	
	▪ Feuilles vertes (Baobab, Oseilles, Moringa, ...)	
	▪ Gombo	
	▪ Carottes	
	▪ Aubergine (Yalo)	
	▪ Haricot vert	
	<b>Fruits</b>	
	▪ Mangues	
	▪ Goyaves	
	▪ Oranges	
	▪ Bananes	
	▪ Citrons	
	▪ Mouffa ( <i>Anona ignefusalis</i> )	

**Q7. Le score moyen de diversité alimentaire des ménages**

Au cours des dernières 24 heures, c'est-à-dire, la journée et la nuit d'hier, est-ce que votre ménage a consommé les aliments inscrits au tableau suivant :

Pour le remplissage, inscrivez 1 si l'aliment est consommé ; 0 si l'aliment n'est pas consommé

N°	Ingrédients	Consommation au cours des dernières 24 heures
	▪ Pastèques, Melons	
	▪ Papayes	
	▪ Dattes	
	▪ <i>Goribba (PalmerDour)</i>	
<b>7.</b>	<b>Viandes, Volaille, abats</b>	
	▪ Viande d'animaux	
	▪ Viande de volaille	
	▪ Criquets et autres insectes	
	▪ Abats	
<b>8</b>	<b>Poisson et autres produits de mer, fleuve, mare</b>	
	▪ Poissons séchés	
	▪ Poissons frais	
	▪ Crevettes	
	▪ Poisson fumé	
	▪ Conserves de Sardine/Thon	
<b>9</b>	<b>Lait et produits laitiers</b>	
	▪ Lait	
	▪ Fromage	
	▪ Beurre	
<b>10</b>	<b>Œufs</b>	
	▪ Œufs	
	▪ Omelettes	
<b>11</b>	<b>Aliments sucrés</b>	
	▪ Sucre	
	▪ Miel	
	▪ Canne à sucre/Melasse	
<b>12</b>	<b>Autres</b>	
	▪ Cola	
	▪ Tabac	
	▪ Thé, Café, Lipton	

## Annex 3: Village sampling

**Table 3.1. List of communes**

Commune	Number of surveyed households
Bibiyargou	57
Diagourou	96
Kokorou	255
Kourtheye	302
Ouallam	122
Sakoira	91
Simiri	164
Sinder	35
Tagazar	168
Tera	125
Tillabéri	83
<b>Grand total</b>	<b>1498</b>

**Table 3.2. List of villages C = control households (in low intensity villages); T = treatment households (in high intensity villages)**

Commune/Village	C	T	Number of surveyed HH	Commune/Village	C	T	Number of surveyed HH
<b>BIBIYARGOU</b>		<b>57</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>Sakoira</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>91</b>
AGOUMA		12	12	BANIZOUMBOU	1		1
BiBIYARGOU (Firguine)		22	22	BAYE GANGANO	8		8
TAMIJJIRT		23	23	FALABERI 1		3	3
<b>DIAGOUROU</b>	<b>96</b>		<b>96</b>	KOURMOU1		35	35
GOUNGO BOYE	19		19	TAGANTASOU		28	28
SEBEREY BOKI	28		28	TIGODARAT	16		16
TCHOUKOUGA	49		49	<b>Simiri</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>164</b>
<b>KOKOROU</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>255</b>	GATAWANE	39		39
BOLSSOU	32		32	KABE	18		18
BOUKOKI	33		33	KABEFO	6		6
BOUWEY GOROU	31		31	KANDA	45		45
DOUNGOURO		34	34	SABON GARI		40	40
MABEYE SONRAI	30		30	WAROU	16		16
NAMGA		30	30	<b>Sinder</b>		<b>35</b>	<b>35</b>
NANARE	36		36	DANGALA		35	35
VILLAGE X KOKOROU	29		29	<b>Tagazar</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>168</b>
<b>Kourtheye</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>143</b>	<b>302</b>	BANIZOUMBOU2	35		35
BAMDERI KOIRA		23	23	FIRGUINE	30		30
KABIA	39		39	MBAMA	40		40
KARAMABOU		31	31	SANDIRE		29	29
KORIA HAOUSSA		47	47	TIMBORAN HATTA	34		34
MELA HAOUSSA	52		52	<b>Tera</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>125</b>
SONA KADO	68		68	DOUMBA		33	33
SORBON HAOUSSA		42	42	TINGABA	15		15
<b>Ouallam</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>122</b>	TONDIA KANGUEY	43		43
BADOUGA	20		20	TOURIKOUKEY		34	34
BOLEZEIDO		40	40	<b>Tillabéri</b>		<b>83</b>	<b>83</b>
BOUGAR		30	30	MARI1		36	36
DABRE		32	32	TILLA KEINA MEBERI		47	47
				<b>Grand total</b>	<b>812</b>	<b>686</b>	<b>1498</b>

# Annex 4: Result of logit model testing the effect of the SUR1M project on the probability that a household affected by seasonal drought (shock/stressor) responds by 'reducing food consumption' (negative coping strategy)

Mixed-effects logistic regression		Number of obs = 709				
Group variable: Commune		Number of groups = 12				
		Obs per group:				
		min = 4				
		avg = 59.1				
		max = 165				
Log likelihood = -380.39406		Wald chi2(24) = 78.48				
		Prob > chi2 = 0.0000				
Q17_R302	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
TreatmentControl	-.5360281	.3068583	-1.75	0.081	-1.137459	.0654031
Livelihood_Zone	.5499053	.2785326	1.97	0.048	.0039915	1.095819
Sexe du Chef	.2025247	.1911714	1.06	0.289	-.1721643	.5772138
Age du Chef	.0125931	.04099	0.31	0.759	-.0677459	.0929321
Age du Chef_sq	-.0002132	.0004227	-0.50	0.614	-.0010417	.0006154
HHSIZE	-.0063367	.0180488	-0.35	0.726	-.0417117	.0290382
PrincipaleActiv2	-.6367202	1.226176	-0.52	0.604	-3.039982	1.766542
PrincipaleActiv4	1.012086	.5200084	1.95	0.052	-.0071121	2.031283
PrincipaleActiv11	-.1445889	.7474298	-0.19	0.847	-1.609524	1.320346
SourceEnerg6	1.003844	.562292	1.79	0.074	-.0982277	2.105916
SourceEnerg7	.7525856	.674896	1.12	0.265	-.5701863	2.075357
SourceEclar2	.3046433	.5273999	0.58	0.564	-.7290415	1.338328
SourceEclar6	.5019947	.4746334	1.06	0.290	-.4282696	1.432259
Log_Assets	-.3446756	.0890831	-3.87	0.000	-.5192754	-.1700759
DroitsProperty	-.4474676	.28814	-1.55	0.120	-1.012212	.1172764
LogIncome	.0177523	.0214443	0.83	0.408	-.0242779	.0597824
Accesstoservices	.1408614	.0876801	1.61	0.108	-.0309883	.3127112
AccesstoAid	-.0018914	.0532382	-0.04	0.972	-.1062363	.1024535
AccesstoAidSocial	.0713097	.0483824	1.47	0.141	-.0235181	.1661375
MemberSocNet	.0574136	.1013315	0.57	0.571	-.1411926	.2560197
ShockSeverity	-.6303191	.1286073	-4.90	0.000	-.8823847	-.3782535
LostAssets	.3925908	.2251914	1.74	0.081	-.0487763	.8339579
LostIncome	.8419397	.2369802	3.55	0.000	.3774671	1.306412
IntraHHTension	1.156138	.4627016	2.50	0.012	.2492593	2.063016
_cons	1.626141	1.472808	1.10	0.270	-1.26051	4.512792
var(_cons)	.4669533	.278436			.1451165	1.502554
LR test vs. logistic model: chibar2(01) = 22.44			Prob >= chibar2 = 0.0000			
Average marginal effects		Number of obs = 709				
	dy/dx	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
TreatmentControl	-.0902571	.0507996	-1.78	0.076	-.1898224	.0093083

Stars indicate statistical significance at the .10 (\*), .05 (\*\*) and .01 (\*\*\*) levels

# Annex 5: Details of the 25 logit models estimated across the five major shocks/stressors and five main types of responses – detrimental coping strategies

## Shock 1: Seasonal drought

### Strategy 302: Reduce food consumption

Mixed-effects logistic regression  
Group variable: Commune

Number of obs = 709  
Number of groups = 12  
Obs per group:

min = 4  
avg = 59.1  
max = 165

Integration method: mvaghermite

Integration pts. = 7  
Wald chi2(24) = 78.48  
Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

Log likelihood = -380.39406

Q17_R302	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
TreatmentControl	-.5360281	.3068583	-1.75	0.081	-1.137459	.0654031
Livelihood_Zone	.5499053	.2785326	1.97	0.048	.0039915	1.095819
Q3_2_SexeDuChef	.2025247	.1911714	1.06	0.289	-.1721643	.5772138
Q3_3_AgeDuChef	.0125931	.04099	0.31	0.759	-.0677459	.0929321
AgeDuChef_sq	-.0002132	.0004227	-0.50	0.614	-.0010417	.0006154
HHSize	-.0063367	.0180488	-0.35	0.726	-.0417117	.0290382
Q3_6_PrincipaleA~e						
2	-.6367202	1.226176	-0.52	0.604	-3.039982	1.766542
4	1.012086	.5200084	1.95	0.052	-.0071121	2.031283
11	-.1445889	.7474298	-0.19	0.847	-1.609524	1.320346
Q3_7_SourceEnergie						
6	1.003844	.562292	1.79	0.074	-.0982277	2.105916
7	.7525856	.674896	1.12	0.265	-.5701863	2.075357
Q3_8_SourceEclar~e						
2	.3046433	.5273999	0.58	0.564	-.7290415	1.338328
	.5019947	.4746334	1.06	0.290	-.4282696	1.432259
Log_Assets	-.3446756	.0890831	-3.87	0.000	-.5192754	-.1700759
Q12_1_DroitsProp~e	-.4474676	.28814	-1.55	0.120	-1.012212	.1172764
LogIncome	.0177523	.0214443	0.83	0.408	-.0242779	.0597824
Accestoservices	.1408614	.0876801	1.61	0.108	-.0309883	.3127112
AccestoAid	-.0018914	.0532382	-0.04	0.972	-.1062363	.1024535
AccestoAidSocial	.0713097	.0483824	1.47	0.141	-.0235181	.1661375
MemberSocNet	.0574136	.1013315	0.57	0.571	-.1411926	.2560197
Q16_R105	-.6303191	.1286073	-4.90	0.000	-.8823847	-.3782535
Q16_R106	.3925908	.2251914	1.74	0.081	-.0487763	.8339579
Q16_R108	.8419397	.2369802	3.55	0.000	.3774671	1.306412
Q16_R109	1.156138	.4627016	2.50	0.012	.2492593	2.063016
_cons	1.626141	1.472808	1.10	0.270	-1.26051	4.512792
Commune						
var(_cons)	.4669533	.278436			.1451165	1.502554

LR test vs. logistic model: chibar2(01) = 22.44 Prob >= chibar2 = 0.0000

. margins, dydx(TreatmentControl)

Average marginal effects

Number of obs = 709

Model VCE : OIM

Expression : Marginal predicted mean, predict()

dy/dx w.r.t. : TreatmentControl

	dy/dx	Delta-method Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
TreatmentControl	-.0902571	.0507996	-1.78	0.076	-.1898224	.0093083



**Strategy 305: Change type of food**

Mixed-effects logistic regression  
 Group variable: Commune

Number of obs = 707  
 Number of groups = 12

Obs per group:  
 min = 4  
 avg = 58.9  
 max = 163

Integration method: mvaghermite

Integration pts. = 7

Log likelihood = -321.12564

Wald chi2(24) = 75.28  
 Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

	Q17_R305	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
TreatmentControl		-.6898751	.3365425	-2.05	0.040	-1.349486	-.030264
Livelihood_Zone		.5371875	.3389472	1.58	0.113	-.1271368	1.201512
Q3_2_SexeDuChef		.0897729	.2135294	0.42	0.674	-.3287369	.5082828
Q3_3_AgeDuChef		.0082787	.0454826	0.18	0.856	-.0808656	.097423
AgeDuChef_sq		-.0000494	.0004653	-0.11	0.916	-.0009613	.0008625
HHSize		-.0164095	.0223603	-0.73	0.463	-.0602349	.0274159
Q3_6_PrincipaleA~e							
4		-1.797161	1.109954	-1.62	0.105	-3.97263	.378308
5		-.1091921	1.333007	-0.08	0.935	-2.721839	2.503455
11		-.1962606	.8631229	-0.23	0.820	-1.88795	1.495429
Q3_7_SourceEnergie							
6		.4499043	.6146487	0.73	0.464	-.7547851	1.654594
7		-.1351693	.7815653	-0.17	0.863	-1.667009	1.39667
Q3_8_SourceEclar~e							
2		-.4546423	.5520988	-0.82	0.410	-1.536736	.6274514
6		-.1025757	.4834693	-0.21	0.832	-1.050158	.8450067
Log_Assets		-.4592438	.1019084	-4.51	0.000	-.6589807	-.2595069
Q12_1_DroitsProp~e		.2929519	.3398349	0.86	0.389	-.3731124	.9590161
LogIncome		.0528952	.0255617	2.07	0.039	.0027952	.1029951
Accestoservices		.1547977	.0978667	1.58	0.114	-.0370175	.3466128
AccestoAid		-.0071544	.0574072	-0.12	0.901	-.1196704	.1053616
AccestoAidSocial		.0570484	.0527776	1.08	0.280	-.0463938	.1604906
MemberSocNet		.1595246	.1108087	1.44	0.150	-.0576565	.3767056
Q16_R105		-.463459	.1338116	-3.46	0.001	-.725725	-.2011931
Q16_R106		.1176382	.2554319	0.46	0.645	-.3829991	.6182754
Q16_R108		1.454697	.3007059	4.84	0.000	.8653241	2.044069
Q16_R109		.4320343	.4719675	0.92	0.360	-.4930051	1.357074
_cons		1.560536	1.662135	0.94	0.348	-1.69719	4.818261

Commune  
 var(\_cons) | .9146047 .5975504 .2541599 3.291242

LR test vs. logistic model: chibar2(01) = 18.22 Prob >= chibar2 = 0.0000

. margins, dydx(TreatmentControl)

Average marginal effects Number of obs = 707

Model VCE : OIM

Expression : Marginal predicted mean, predict()

dy/dx w.r.t. : TreatmentControl

	dy/dx	Delta-method Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
TreatmentControl	-.0941415	.0469402	-2.01	0.045	-.1861427	-.0021404

**Strategy 308: Reduce level of family expenditure**

Mixed-effects logistic regression      Number of obs      =      697  
 Group variable:                    Commune                    Number of groups      =      12

Obs per group:  
    min =      5  
    avg =      58.1  
    max =      163

Integration method: mvaghermite                    Integration pts.      =      7

Log likelihood = -360.07494                    Wald chi2(27)      =      102.69  
    Prob > chi2      =      0.0000

	Q17_R308	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
TreatmentControl		-.05883	.3003818	-0.20	0.845	-.6475676	.5299075
Livelihood_Zone		.4372631	.3069454	1.42	0.154	-.1643388	1.038865
Q3_2_SexeDuChef		.3985579	.2023508	1.97	0.049	.0019576	.7951583
Q3_3_AgeDuChef		-.0311324	.0430783	-0.72	0.470	-.1155644	.0532995
AgeDuChef_sq		.0002893	.0004421	0.65	0.513	-.0005771	.0011558
HHSize		-.0208137	.0200238	-1.04	0.299	-.0600596	.0184322
Q3_6_PrincipaleA~e							
2		.0310408	1.191903	0.03	0.979	-2.305047	2.367128
4		.2442565	.5892871	0.41	0.679	-.910725	1.399238
6		-.3621098	1.252947	-0.29	0.773	-2.817841	2.093622
11		1.109052	.7920406	1.40	0.161	-.4433189	2.661423
12		-.1076512	1.206791	-0.09	0.929	-2.472917	2.257615
Q3_7_SourceEnergie							
6		-.8685639	.6353933	-1.37	0.172	-2.113912	.3767842
7		-.6258246	.851849	-0.73	0.463	-2.295418	1.043769
Q3_8_SourceEclar~e							
2		-1.156185	.5275909	-2.19	0.028	-2.190244	-.1221255
6		.1886116	.4584604	0.41	0.681	-.7099543	1.087178
7		1.250733	1.239266	1.01	0.313	-1.178184	3.679649
Log_Assets		-.285991	.0924698	-3.09	0.002	-.4672286	-.1047535
Q12_1_DroitsProp~e		-.4947499	.301265	-1.64	0.101	-1.085218	.0957186
LogIncome		.0092714	.0226594	0.41	0.682	-.0351403	.053683
Accestoservices		.0497545	.0855979	0.58	0.561	-.1180144	.2175234
AccestoAid		.2241299	.0541716	4.14	0.000	.1179555	.3303043
AccestoAidSocial		-.0264756	.0503469	-0.53	0.599	-.1251537	.0722024
MemberSocNet		.0845103	.0980184	0.86	0.389	-.1076021	.2766228
Q16_R105		-.3699429	.1200507	-3.08	0.002	-.605238	-.1346477
Q16_R106		.9167071	.2437492	3.76	0.000	.4389676	1.394447
Q16_R108		1.126969	.2525039	4.46	0.000	.6320702	1.621867
Q16_R109		-.0030389	.4933719	-0.01	0.995	-.97003	.9639522
_cons		1.968345	1.538469	1.28	0.201	-1.046998	4.983689
Commune							
var(_cons)		.579141	.3489733			.1777769	1.886659

LR test vs. logistic model: chibar2(01) = 19.13                    Prob >= chibar2 = 0.0000

**Strategy 311: Take loans**

Mixed-effects logistic regression  
 Group variable: Commune

Number of obs = 719  
 Number of groups = 12  
 Obs per group:  
     min = 4  
     avg = 59.9  
     max = 167  
 Integration pts. = 7  
 Wald chi2(27) = 74.45  
 Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

Integration method: mvaghermite

Log likelihood = -410.66726

	Q18_R311	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
TreatmentControl		-.4411317	.2639971	-1.67	0.095	-.9585565	.0762931
Livelihood_Zone		-.1087526	.2394956	-0.45	0.650	-.5781554	.3606502
Q3_2_SexeDuChef		.0634464	.1825643	0.35	0.728	-.294373	.4212658
Q3_3_AgeDuChef		.0007023	.0402218	0.02	0.986	-.078131	.0795356
AgeDuChef_sq		-.0000857	.0004174	-0.21	0.837	-.0009038	.0007324
HHSize		.0039664	.017784	0.22	0.824	-.0308895	.0388224
Q3_6_PrincipaleA~e							
4		-.9282632	.6659501	-1.39	0.163	-2.233502	.3769751
5		-.7239737	1.298705	-0.56	0.577	-3.269388	1.821441
6		.3486757	1.028784	0.34	0.735	-1.667704	2.365055
11		-2.204248	1.117452	-1.97	0.049	-4.394413	-.0140834
12		-.8079721	1.152875	-0.70	0.483	-3.067565	1.451621
Q3_7_SourceEnergie							
6		-.3796177	.5761504	-0.66	0.510	-1.508852	.7496164
7		.3656365	.6464344	0.57	0.572	-.9013517	1.632625
Q3_8_SourceEclar~e							
2		1.37276	.5384329	2.55	0.011	.3174509	2.428069
6		1.123578	.5105765	2.20	0.028	.1228669	2.12429
7		2.361755	1.16243	2.03	0.042	.083434	4.640076
Log_Assets		-.2208003	.0817631	-2.70	0.007	-.3810529	-.0605476
Q12_1_DroitsProp~e		-.5741711	.2767728	-2.07	0.038	-1.116636	-.0317063
LogIncome		-.0032943	.0210652	-0.16	0.876	-.0445813	.0379927
Accestoservices		.0885327	.0732599	1.21	0.227	-.0550541	.2321194
AccestoAid		-.021782	.049555	-0.44	0.660	-.118908	.0753439
AccestoAidSocial		.0535517	.0447273	1.20	0.231	-.0341121	.1412155
MemberSocNet		.0890951	.0939638	0.95	0.343	-.0950707	.2732608
Q16_R105		-.3653223	.1126285	-3.24	0.001	-.5860702	-.1445744
Q16_R106		.4960423	.2203188	2.25	0.024	.0642254	.9278591
Q16_R108		1.032972	.2270756	4.55	0.000	.5879119	1.478032
Q16_R109		.4655991	.4459006	1.04	0.296	-.4083501	1.339548
_cons		.5388522	1.419431	0.38	0.704	-2.243181	3.320886
Commune							
var(_cons)		.1325201	.1120477			.0252684	.6950006

LR test vs. logistic model: chibar2(01) = 4.48      Prob >= chibar2 = 0.0172

. margins, dydx(TreatmentControl)

Average marginal effects      Number of obs = 719  
 Model VCE : OIM  
 Expression : Marginal predicted mean, predict()  
 dy/dx w.r.t. : TreatmentControl

	dy/dx	Delta-method Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
TreatmentControl	-.0829233	.0491522	-1.69	0.092	-.1792599	.0134133

**Strategy 316: Sell assets**

Mixed-effects logistic regression  
 Group variable: Commune

Number of obs = 710  
 Number of groups = 12

Obs per group:  
 min = 3  
 avg = 59.2  
 max = 167

Integration method: mvaghermite

Integration pts. = 7

Log likelihood = -341.079

Wald chi2(28) = 101.03  
 Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

Q18_R316	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
TreatmentControl	.0016435	.3124163	0.01	0.996	-.6106811	.6139681
Livelihood_Zone	.0605643	.3367848	0.18	0.857	-.5995218	.7206505
Q3_2_SexeDuChef	-.0757887	.2088689	-0.36	0.717	-.4851642	.3335867
Q3_3_AgeDuChef	.0053809	.0466986	0.12	0.908	-.0861467	.0969085
AgeDuChef_sq	.0000222	.0004642	0.05	0.962	-.0008877	.0009321
HHSize	.0111322	.0197617	0.56	0.573	-.0276	.0498644
Q3_6_PrincipaleA~e						
2	1.453662	1.024271	1.42	0.156	-.5538726	3.461197
4	-1.377685	.7438855	-1.85	0.064	-2.835674	.080304
5	.5776629	1.455008	0.40	0.691	-2.2741	3.429426
6	.5059274	1.097841	0.46	0.645	-1.645801	2.657656
7	0	(empty)				
11	1.674008	.7876767	2.13	0.034	.13019	3.217826
12	.2670273	1.33131	0.20	0.841	-2.342292	2.876347
Q3_7_SourceEnergie						
6	-2.47062	1.17948	-2.09	0.036	-4.782359	-.1588808
7	1.137622	.700195	1.62	0.104	-.2347345	2.509979
Q3_8_SourceEclar~e						
2	1.028669	.4939386	2.08	0.037	.0605672	1.996771
6	.4898694	.4678042	1.05	0.295	-.4270101	1.406749
7	4.375037	1.589037	2.75	0.006	1.260582	7.489493
Log_Assets	.2913097	.099056	2.94	0.003	.0971635	.4854558
Q12_1_DroitsProp~e	-.2367812	.3221714	-0.73	0.462	-.8682256	.3946633
LogIncome	.1395376	.0271602	5.14	0.000	.0863046	.1927707
Accestoservices	.0232374	.0931439	0.25	0.803	-.1593214	.2057961
AccestoAid	.0233154	.054052	0.43	0.666	-.0826246	.1292554
AccestoAidSocial	.0438362	.0520622	0.84	0.400	-.0582038	.1458763
MemberSocNet	.2374226	.1023396	2.32	0.020	.0368408	.4380045
Q16_R105	-.0276313	.1244251	-0.22	0.824	-.2715	.2162374
Q16_R106	.8265896	.2476333	3.34	0.001	.3412373	1.311942
Q16_R108	.5131565	.2614501	1.96	0.050	.0007238	1.025589
Q16_R109	.4596806	.551193	0.83	0.404	-.6206378	1.539999
_cons	-7.581336	1.721027	-4.41	0.000	-10.95449	-4.208186
Commune						
var(_cons)	.897329	.4743014			.3184431	2.52855

LR test vs. logistic model: chibar2(01) = 39.15

Prob >= chibar2 = 0.0000

**Shock 14: Serious Illness**

**Strategy 302: Reduce food consumption**

Logistic regression

Number of obs = 488  
Wald chi2(10) = .  
Prob > chi2 = .  
Pseudo R2 = 0.1114

Log pseudolikelihood = -161.64875

(Std. Err. adjusted for 12 clusters in Commune)

Q17_R302	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
TreatmentControl	.7296427	.6729631	1.08	0.278	-.5893407	2.048626
Livelihood_Zone	.3669549	.5572531	0.66	0.510	-.7252411	1.459151
Q3_2_SexeDuChef	-.0657571	.2234332	-0.29	0.769	-.503678	.3721639
HHSize	.0467617	.0225853	2.07	0.038	.0024954	.091028
Ppal_Activity	-.6476777	.3718146	-1.74	0.082	-1.376421	.0810655
Source_Enrg	.8417109	.4770688	1.76	0.078	-.0933268	1.776749
Source_Light	-.034559	.3687109	-0.09	0.925	-.757219	.688101
Log_Assets	-.2962249	.1556384	-1.90	0.057	-.6012706	.0088207
Q12_1_DroitsPropriete	.622434	.6430801	0.97	0.333	-.6379798	1.882848
LogIncome	-.01623	.0549117	-0.30	0.768	-.1238549	.0913949
Accestoservices	-.2664602	.0946894	-2.81	0.005	-.4520481	-.0808723
AccestoAid	.0353846	.0780785	0.45	0.650	-.1176465	.1884156
AccestoAidSocial	.0280964	.0737351	0.38	0.703	-.1164217	.1726146
MemberSocNet	-.0067101	.2305928	-0.03	0.977	-.4586637	.4452436
Q16_R105	-.0017836	.1693574	-0.01	0.992	-.3337179	.3301508
Q16_R106	.6473076	.4378429	1.48	0.139	-.2108488	1.505464
Q16_R108	.8516978	.3733085	2.28	0.023	.1200266	1.583369
Q16_R109	.1589645	.4641844	0.34	0.732	-.7508203	1.068749
_cons	-.443655	2.147193	-0.21	0.836	-4.652076	3.764766

. estat gof

Logistic model for Q17\_R302, goodness-of-fit test

number of observations = 488  
number of covariate patterns = 476  
Pearson chi2(457) = 487.12  
Prob > chi2 = 0.1593

. estat gof, group(20)

Logistic model for Q17\_R302, goodness-of-fit test

(Table collapsed on quantiles of estimated probabilities)

number of observations = 488  
number of groups = 20  
Hosmer-Lemeshow chi2(18) = 20.95  
Prob > chi2 = 0.2818

**Strategy 308: Reduce level of family expenditure**

```

Logistic regression                Number of obs    =      489
                                   Wald chi2(10)     =          .
                                   Prob > chi2         =          .
Log pseudolikelihood = -194.75963   Pseudo R2       =      0.1605
    
```

(Std. Err. adjusted for 12 clusters in Commune)

	Q17_R308	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
TreatmentControl		.4025261	.5130789	0.78	0.433	-.60309	1.408142
Livelihood_Zone		.1512362	.4668195	0.32	0.746	-.7637132	1.066186
Q3_2_SexeDuChef		-.1182479	.1936834	-0.61	0.542	-.4978605	.2613646
Q3_3_AgeDuChef		-.0171111	.0540626	-0.32	0.752	-.1230718	.0888497
AgeDuChef_sq		.0002088	.0005114	0.41	0.683	-.0007935	.0012112
HHSize		.0158727	.0294721	0.54	0.590	-.0418916	.073637
Ppal_Activity		.0016667	.3611581	0.00	0.996	-.7061902	.7095236
Source_Enrg		-.0260778	.6291484	-0.04	0.967	-1.259186	1.207031
Q3_8_SourceEclarirage							
	2	-.2196983	.8213713	-0.27	0.789	-1.829556	1.39016
	6	-.1953377	.4001742	-0.49	0.625	-.9796647	.5889893
	7	1.729865	1.470901	1.18	0.240	-1.153049	4.612779
Log_Assets		-.2850669	.1628338	-1.75	0.080	-.6042153	.0340814
Q12_1_DroitsPropriete		-.3678844	.3055588	-1.20	0.229	-.9667686	.2309998
LogIncome		.0328284	.0423563	0.78	0.438	-.0501884	.1158451
Accestoservices		.132711	.1242397	1.07	0.285	-.1107945	.3762164
AccestoAid		.075798	.0454286	1.67	0.095	-.0132404	.1648365
AccestoAidSocial		-.1747851	.0391549	-4.46	0.000	-.2515273	-.0980429
MemberSocNet		.2007281	.1239379	1.62	0.105	-.0421858	.443642
Q16_R105		-.1698825	.1357435	-1.25	0.211	-.435935	.0961699
Q16_R106		.731823	.4625774	1.58	0.114	-.1748121	1.638458
Q16_R108		.8912393	.1987309	4.48	0.000	.5017339	1.280745
Q16_R109		1.031971	.4438668	2.32	0.020	.1620077	1.901934
_cons		1.152207	1.670807	0.69	0.490	-2.122515	4.426929

```

. estat gof
Logistic model for Q17_R308, goodness-of-fit test
    
```

```

number of observations =      489
number of covariate patterns =      478
Pearson chi2(455) =      505.12
Prob > chi2 =      0.0520
    
```

```

. estat gof, group(30)
Logistic model for Q17_R308, goodness-of-fit test
    
```

(Table collapsed on quantiles of estimated probabilities)

```

number of observations =      489
number of groups =      30
Hosmer-Lemeshow chi2(28) =      14.65
Prob > chi2 =      0.9819
    
```

**Strategy 311: Take loans**

Logistic regression

Number of obs = 492  
 Wald chi2(10) = .  
 Prob > chi2 = .  
 Pseudo R2 = 0.1727

Log pseudolikelihood = -278.89654

(Std. Err. adjusted for 12 clusters in Commune)

Q18_R311	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
TreatmentControl	.0418501	.4860932	0.09	0.931	-.910875	.9945752
Livelihood_Zone	-.4204711	.2210887	-1.90	0.057	-.8537969	.0128548
Q3_2_SexeDuChef	-.1143182	.2482518	-0.46	0.645	-.6008828	.3722465
Q3_3_AgeDuChef	-.0065793	.0091353	-0.72	0.471	-.0244841	.0113255
HHSize	.003409	.0128273	0.27	0.790	-.0217321	.02855
Ppal_Activity	1.135149	.5055849	2.25	0.025	.1442205	2.126077
Q3_7_SourceEnergie						
6	-1.807799	.468305	-3.86	0.000	-2.72566	-.8899386
7	.7155424	.4765192	1.50	0.133	-.218418	1.649503
Q3_8_SourceEclairirage						
2	-.7161106	.4430878	-1.62	0.106	-1.584547	.1523255
6	-.4640168	.3907268	-1.19	0.235	-1.229827	.3017937
7	.7124964	1.279341	0.56	0.578	-1.794965	3.219958
Log_Assets	-.3377649	.1578624	-2.14	0.032	-.6471696	-.0283603
Q12_1_DroitsPropriete	.28221	.2316743	1.22	0.223	-.1718633	.7362834
LogIncome	-.0623542	.0254522	-2.45	0.014	-.1122396	-.0124688
Accestoservices	-.0552033	.1075218	-0.51	0.608	-.2659421	.1555354
AccestoAid	-.0200593	.0617427	-0.32	0.745	-.1410727	.1009541
AccestoAidSocial	-.0347098	.0494228	-0.70	0.482	-.1315768	.0621571
MemberSocNet	.0660002	.117275	0.56	0.574	-.1638545	.2958549
Q16_R105	-.3501112	.1413259	-2.48	0.013	-.6271049	-.0731176
Q16_R106	.3650068	.3417355	1.07	0.285	-.3047825	1.034796
Q16_R108	.6782931	.2338253	2.90	0.004	.220004	1.136582
Q16_R109	1.715871	.4697321	3.65	0.000	.7952128	2.636529
_cons	4.257524	1.805351	2.36	0.018	.7191008	7.795947

```
. estat gof
Logistic model for Q18_R311, goodness-of-fit test
  number of observations = 492
  number of covariate patterns = 481
  Pearson chi2(458) = 497.79
  Prob > chi2 = 0.0967
```

```
. estat gof, group(30)
Logistic model for Q18_R311, goodness-of-fit test
```

```
(Table collapsed on quantiles of estimated probabilities)
  number of observations = 492
  number of groups = 30
  Hosmer-Lemeshow chi2(28) = 25.83
  Prob > chi2 = 0.5824
```

**Strategy 316: Sell assets**

Logistic regression

Number of obs = 476  
 Wald chi2(10) = .  
 Prob > chi2 = .  
 Pseudo R2 = 0.1918

Log pseudolikelihood = -229.42064

(Std. Err. adjusted for 12 clusters in Commune)

Q18_R316	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
TreatmentControl	.2112176	.3870119	0.55	0.585	-.5473118	.969747
Livelihood_Zone	-.0058601	.2978855	-0.02	0.984	-.5897049	.5779847
Q3_2_SexeDuChef	-.3659392	.2934787	-1.25	0.212	-.9411469	.2092685
Q3_3_AgeDuChef	.0167263	.0619859	0.27	0.787	-.1047639	.1382164
AgeDuChef_sq	-.0000467	.0005619	-0.08	0.934	-.0011481	.0010547
HHSIZE	.0003991	.0396025	0.01	0.992	-.0772204	.0780186
Q3_6_PrincipaleActivite						
4	-1.658223	1.130558	-1.47	0.142	-3.874076	.5576301
5	-.4634474	.5867474	-0.79	0.430	-1.613451	.6865564
11	.2725553	.9294142	0.29	0.769	-1.549063	2.094174
12	.4952262	.9550539	0.52	0.604	-1.376645	2.367097
Q3_7_SourceEnergie						
6	.0137046	1.26633	0.01	0.991	-2.468257	2.495666
7	-.0570431	1.356616	-0.04	0.966	-2.715961	2.601875
Q3_8_SourceEclairage						
2	.2975359	.3981894	0.75	0.455	-.4829009	1.077973
6	-.046555	.3033108	-0.15	0.878	-.6410332	.5479232
Log_Assets	.0967255	.1499125	0.65	0.519	-.1970976	.3905486
Q12_1_DroitsPropriete	.0999685	.2891914	0.35	0.730	-.4668361	.6667732
LogIncome	.0150588	.0439976	0.34	0.732	-.071175	.1012925
Accestoservices	.1876784	.1385517	1.35	0.176	-.0838778	.4592347
AccestoAid	.0035097	.0831005	0.04	0.966	-.1593642	.1663837
AccestoAidSocial	.0113207	.0502767	0.23	0.822	-.0872199	.1098613
MemberSocNet	-.0991789	.1438472	-0.69	0.491	-.3811142	.1827565
Q16_R105	-.0805781	.1413483	-0.57	0.569	-.3576157	.1964595
Q16_R106	1.641494	.3972016	4.13	0.000	.8629933	2.419995
Q16_R108	.9671904	.3918696	2.47	0.014	.1991402	1.735241
Q16_R109	-.0339248	.4383247	-0.08	0.938	-.8930254	.8251757
_cons	-4.391455	2.138521	-2.05	0.040	-8.582878	-.2000315

. estat gof

Logistic model for Q18\_R316, goodness-of-fit test

number of observations = 476  
 number of covariate patterns = 466  
 Pearson chi2(440) = 456.59  
 Prob > chi2 = 0.2828

. estat gof, group(25)

Logistic model for Q18\_R316, goodness-of-fit test

(Table collapsed on quantiles of estimated probabilities)

number of observations = 476  
 number of groups = 25  
 Hosmer-Lemeshow chi2(23) = 29.08  
 Prob > chi2 = 0.1777



**Shock 6: Army Worm attack**  
**Strategy 302: Reduce food consumption**

```

Logistic regression                               Number of obs   =          277
                                                  Wald chi2(9)    =          .
                                                  Prob > chi2     =          .
Log pseudolikelihood = -97.662457                Pseudo R2      =          0.2533

```

(Std. Err. adjusted for 11 clusters in Commune)

Q17_R302	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
TreatmentControl	.6267731	.6067262	1.03	0.302	-.5623884	1.815935
Livelihood_Zone	1.405627	.326152	4.31	0.000	.7663807	2.044873
Q3_2_SexeDuChef	.6530936	.2250825	2.90	0.004	.2119401	1.094247
Q3_3_AgeDuChef	-.1430666	.0744335	-1.92	0.055	-.2889535	.0028204
AgeDuChef_sq	.001089	.0006981	1.56	0.119	-.0002792	.0024573
HHSIZE	.0533444	.0362697	1.47	0.141	-.017743	.1244318
Ppal_Activity	.3543515	.7676001	0.46	0.644	-1.150117	1.85882
Source_Enrg	-.0473006	.5756243	-0.08	0.935	-1.175503	1.080902
Source_Light	-.3526804	.5548035	-0.64	0.525	-1.440075	.7347145
Log_Assets	-.0158258	.1501401	-0.11	0.916	-.3100949	.2784433
Q12_1_DroitsPropriete	-.5068552	.5811082	-0.87	0.383	-1.645806	.6320958
LogIncome	-.092321	.0378556	-2.44	0.015	-.1665165	-.0181254
Accestoservices	-.1906706	.1072834	-1.78	0.076	-.4009422	.0196009
AccestoAid	-.0736064	.1217832	-0.60	0.546	-.3122972	.1650844
AccestoAidSocial	.0374829	.0774038	0.48	0.628	-.1142258	.1891915
MemberSocNet	.0571903	.2053967	0.28	0.781	-.3453799	.4597605
Q16_R105	-.2733291	.260591	-1.05	0.294	-.7840782	.2374199
Q16_R106	1.272069	.3898327	3.26	0.001	.5080112	2.036127
Q16_R108	.6915917	.4412826	1.57	0.117	-.1733064	1.55649
Q16_R109	2.161229	.9277499	2.33	0.020	.3428727	3.979585
_cons	1.251771	3.17342	0.39	0.693	-4.968016	7.471559

. estat gof

Logistic model for Q17\_R302, goodness-of-fit test

```

number of observations =          277
number of covariate patterns =          274
Pearson chi2(253) =          259.44
Prob > chi2 =          0.3770

```

. estat gof, group(30)

Logistic model for Q17\_R302, goodness-of-fit test

(Table collapsed on quantiles of estimated probabilities)

```

number of observations =          277
number of groups =          30
Hosmer-Lemeshow chi2(28) =          32.89
Prob > chi2 =          0.2398

```

**Strategy 305: Change type of food**

```

Logistic regression                               Number of obs   =       273
                                                  Wald chi2(9)    =           .
                                                  Prob > chi2     =           .
Log pseudolikelihood = -74.029546                Pseudo R2      =       0.1990
    
```

(Std. Err. adjusted for 11 clusters in Commune)

	Q17_R305	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
TreatmentControl		.5469506	.8252648	0.66	0.507	-1.070539	2.16444
Livelihood_Zone		.6200743	.9432616	0.66	0.511	-1.228684	2.468833
Q3_2_SexeDuChef		.8004897	.5610372	1.43	0.154	-.299123	1.900102
Q3_3_AgeDuChef		-.018545	.0989426	-0.19	0.851	-.2124689	.175379
AgeDuChef_sq		.0003861	.0009378	0.41	0.681	-.001452	.0022242
HHSize		-.0293462	.0756194	-0.39	0.698	-.1775575	.1188652
Ppal_Activity		-.0453362	1.394402	-0.03	0.974	-2.778313	2.687641
Source_Enrg		.0803165	1.157094	0.07	0.945	-2.187547	2.34818
Source_Light		-.6574772	.76609	-0.86	0.391	-2.158986	.8440316
Log_Assets		-.1419717	.154348	-0.92	0.358	-.4444882	.1605449
Q12_1_DroitsPropriete		.9582629	.8218734	1.17	0.244	-.6525794	2.569105
LogIncome		-.0399372	.0362055	-1.10	0.270	-.1108987	.0310243
Accestoservices		-.1825043	.099618	-1.83	0.067	-.377752	.0127435
AccestoAid		-.1896308	.1993531	-0.95	0.341	-.5803557	.2010941
AccestoAidSocial		-.1029764	.1457418	-0.71	0.480	-.388625	.1826722
MemberSocNet		.3491369	.2664676	1.31	0.190	-.17313	.8714038
Q16_R105		.0226072	.2676341	0.08	0.933	-.501946	.5471605
Q16_R106		.2522982	.4502106	0.56	0.575	-.6300985	1.134695
Q16_R108		.9685468	.2884238	3.36	0.001	.4032466	1.533847
Q16_R109		1.292549	.9498454	1.36	0.174	-.5691136	3.154212
_cons		-2.207793	3.234932	-0.68	0.495	-8.548143	4.132558

. estat gof

Logistic model for Q17\_R305, goodness-of-fit test

```

number of observations =       273
number of covariate patterns =     270
Pearson chi2(249) =     229.60
Prob > chi2 =         0.8059
    
```

. estat gof, group(20)

Logistic model for Q17\_R305, goodness-of-fit test

(Table collapsed on quantiles of estimated probabilities)

```

number of observations =       273
number of groups =         20
Hosmer-Lemeshow chi2(18) =     20.51
Prob > chi2 =         0.3051
    
```

**Strategy 308: Reduce level of family expenditure**

```

Logistic regression                Number of obs   =      262
                                   Wald chi2(9)      =      .
                                   Prob > chi2        =      .
Log pseudolikelihood = -92.701459  Pseudo R2      =      0.1962
    
```

(Std. Err. adjusted for 11 clusters in Commune)

Q17_R308	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
TreatmentControl	.8234912	.7221473	1.14	0.254	-.5918915	2.238874
Livelihood_Zone	-.1781399	.5885748	-0.30	0.762	-1.331725	.9754455
Q3_2_SexeDuChef	-.2601532	.3662979	-0.71	0.478	-.9780838	.4577774
Q3_3_AgeDuChef	-.0129543	.0729793	-0.18	0.859	-.155991	.1300824
AgeDuChef_sq	.0001048	.0006158	0.17	0.865	-.0011021	.0013118
HHSize	.0841147	.0372276	2.26	0.024	.01115	.1570794
Ppal_Activity	-.5561199	.8454819	-0.66	0.511	-2.213234	1.100994
Source_Enrg	.1761543	.9598772	0.18	0.854	-1.705171	2.057479
Source_Light	-1.087112	.5866277	-1.85	0.064	-2.236881	.0626569
Log_Assets	-.5796942	.1497864	-3.87	0.000	-.8732701	-.2861182
Q12_1_DroitsPropriete	.9560837	.8737323	1.09	0.274	-.7564	2.668568
LogIncome	.0642995	.0284633	2.26	0.024	.0085126	.1200865
Accestoservices	-.0506667	.1701936	-0.30	0.766	-.3842401	.2829067
AccestoAid	-.2255113	.0521212	-4.33	0.000	-.3276669	-.1233556
AccestoAidSocial	-.0054923	.1425028	-0.04	0.969	-.2847926	.273808
MemberSocNet	-.1625712	.3582459	-0.45	0.650	-.8647202	.5395779
Q16_R105	-.2267853	.2106389	-1.08	0.282	-.63963	.1860594
Q16_R106	1.733472	.5064919	3.42	0.001	.7407665	2.726178
Q16_R108	.0988405	.5044598	0.20	0.845	-.8898825	1.087564
Q16_R109	.8728534	.6771742	1.29	0.197	-.4543836	2.20009
_cons	4.135277	1.471586	2.81	0.005	1.251022	7.019532

. estat gof

Logistic model for Q17\_R308, goodness-of-fit test

```

number of observations =      262
number of covariate patterns =    259
Pearson chi2(238) =    217.03
Prob > chi2 =      0.8315
    
```

. estat gof, group(20)

Logistic model for Q17\_R308, goodness-of-fit test

(Table collapsed on quantiles of estimated probabilities)

```

number of observations =      262
number of groups =      20
Hosmer-Lemeshow chi2(18) =    14.73
Prob > chi2 =      0.6802
    
```

**Strategy 311: Take loans**

```

Logistic regression                Number of obs   =       272
                                   Wald chi2(8)      =           .
                                   Prob > chi2       =           .
Log pseudolikelihood = -64.728063   Pseudo R2      =       0.3430
    
```

(Std. Err. adjusted for 10 clusters in Commune)

	Q18_R311	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
TreatmentControl		-.243937	.9033097	-0.27	0.787	-2.014392	1.526518
Livelihood_Zone		-2.01778	.2778533	-7.26	0.000	-2.562362	-1.473197
Q3_2_SexeDuChef		.1076442	.3569403	0.30	0.763	-.5919458	.8072343
Q3_3_AgeDuChef		.0170477	.085993	0.20	0.843	-.1514955	.185591
AgeDuChef_sq		-.00057	.0008373	-0.68	0.496	-.0022112	.0010711
HHSize		.1359199	.0427816	3.18	0.001	.0520695	.2197704
Source_Enrg		.0491502	1.139193	0.04	0.966	-2.183628	2.281928
Source_Light		-.7139062	.8876252	-0.80	0.421	-2.45362	1.025807
Log_Assets		-.1914643	.2388331	-0.80	0.423	-.6595686	.2766401
Q12_1_DroitsPropriete		2.658045	1.393284	1.91	0.056	-.0727408	5.388831
LogIncome		-.0463237	.0515786	-0.90	0.369	-.1474159	.0547684
Accestoservices		-.281787	.1941225	-1.45	0.147	-.6622601	.0986861
AccestoAid		-.2218237	.1319417	-1.68	0.093	-.4804247	.0367774
AccestoAidSocial		.1307127	.1283141	1.02	0.308	-.1207784	.3822037
MemberSocNet		-.0356208	.1695733	-0.21	0.834	-.3679783	.2967366
Q16_R105		-.4406609	.2295306	-1.92	0.055	-.8905326	.0092109
Q16_R106		2.721199	.5823416	4.67	0.000	1.57983	3.862567
Q16_R108		-.3757364	.3605882	-1.04	0.297	-1.082476	.3310035
Q16_R109		2.163459	.6858368	3.15	0.002	.8192437	3.507674
_cons		-1.522629	3.399496	-0.45	0.654	-8.185519	5.14026

. estat gof

Logistic model for Q18\_R311, goodness-of-fit test

```

number of observations =       272
number of covariate patterns =     269
Pearson chi2(249) =     264.13
Prob > chi2 =           0.2437
    
```

. estat gof, group(20)

Logistic model for Q18\_R311, goodness-of-fit test

(Table collapsed on quantiles of estimated probabilities)

```

number of observations =       272
number of groups =           20
Hosmer-Lemeshow chi2(18) =     20.57
Prob > chi2 =           0.3014
    
```

**Strategy 316: Sell assets**

```

Logistic regression      Number of obs   =      129
                        Wald chi2(7)         =          .
                        Prob > chi2         =          .
Log pseudolikelihood = -26.234434      Pseudo R2      =      0.5767
    
```

(Std. Err. adjusted for 9 clusters in Commune)

Q18_R316	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
TreatmentControl	-2.623687	1.056698	-2.48	0.013	-4.694776	-.5525969
Livelihood_Zone	-3.000483	.8748438	-3.43	0.001	-4.715146	-1.285821
Q3_2_SexeDuChef	1.489453	.3789157	3.93	0.000	.7467921	2.232114
Q3_3_AgeDuChef	-.1395608	.3119042	-0.45	0.655	-.7508818	.4717602
AgeDuChef_sq	.0007714	.0030181	0.26	0.798	-.005144	.0066867
HHSIZE	.1285436	.0877564	1.46	0.143	-.0434558	.3005431
Ppal_Activity	0	(omitted)				
Source_Enrg	0	(omitted)				
Source_Light	-.8513045	1.543434	-0.55	0.581	-3.87638	2.173771
Log_Assets	.1869707	.395448	0.47	0.636	-.5880931	.9620345
Q12_1_DroitsPropriete	0	(omitted)				
LogIncome	.1839858	.0682127	2.70	0.007	.0502912	.3176803
Accestoservices	-.3233142	.4379021	-0.74	0.460	-1.181586	.5349581
AccestoAid	0	(omitted)				
AccestoAidSocial	-.1002001	.3454527	-0.29	0.772	-.777275	.5768748
MemberSocNet	1.181702	.2580249	4.58	0.000	.6759823	1.687421
Q16_R105	.5934628	.6986693	0.85	0.396	-.7759038	1.962829
Q16_R106	5.971571	1.227971	4.86	0.000	3.564792	8.37835
Q16_R108	-.1540703	1.892492	-0.08	0.935	-3.863287	3.555147
Q16_R109	3.316447	.82045	4.04	0.000	1.708395	4.9245
_cons	-5.889067	7.096705	-0.83	0.407	-19.79835	8.020219

```

. margins, dydx(TreatmentControl)
Average marginal effects      Number of obs   =      129
Model VCE      : Robust
Expression    : Pr(Q18_R316), predict()
dy/dx w.r.t. : TreatmentControl
    
```

	dy/dx	Delta-method Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
TreatmentControl	-.16445	.0752483	-2.19	0.029	-.3119341	-.016966

```

. estat gof
Logistic model for Q18_R316, goodness-of-fit test
number of observations =      129
number of covariate patterns =      126
Pearson chi2(109) =      110.88
Prob > chi2 =      0.4320
    
```

```

. estat gof, group(20)
Logistic model for Q18_R316, goodness-of-fit test
    
```

(Table collapsed on quantiles of estimated probabilities)

```

number of observations =      129
number of groups =      20
Hosmer-Lemeshow chi2(18) =      8.55
Prob > chi2 =      0.9692
    
```

**Shock 10: Price peaks**  
**Strategy 302: Reduce food consumption**

```

Logistic regression                               Number of obs   =          126
                                                    Wald chi2(8)    =           .
                                                    Prob > chi2     =           .
Log pseudolikelihood = -55.576479                Pseudo R2      =          0.1336
  
```

(Std. Err. adjusted for 10 clusters in Commune)

Q17_R302	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
TreatmentControl	.5908546	.8673977	0.68	0.496	-1.109214	2.290923
Livelihood_Zone	.0656577	.5060016	0.13	0.897	-.9260873	1.057403
Q3_2_SexeDuChef	.1192425	.541259	0.22	0.826	-.9416057	1.180091
Q3_3_AgeDuChef	-.0041596	.1348545	-0.03	0.975	-.2684696	.2601504
AgeDuChef_sq	.0003786	.0012017	0.32	0.753	-.0019766	.0027338
HHSIZE	.0199338	.0741139	0.27	0.788	-.1253267	.1651943
Ppal_Activity	1.417803	1.402289	1.01	0.312	-1.330633	4.166238
Source_Enrg	-.4004635	.7643549	-0.52	0.600	-1.898572	1.097645
Source_Light	-.6123552	.9701871	-0.63	0.528	-2.513887	1.289176
Log_Assets	-.1442198	.3400159	-0.42	0.671	-.8106387	.5221992
Q12_1_DroitsPropriete	-.2098812	.8137782	-0.26	0.796	-1.804857	1.385095
LogIncome	.0394757	.0810385	0.49	0.626	-.1193568	.1983083
Accestoservices	-.4060681	.3314475	-1.23	0.221	-1.055693	.2435571
AccestoAid	.1986544	.1049279	1.89	0.058	-.0070005	.4043093
AccestoAidSocial	.0542577	.0920321	0.59	0.555	-.1261219	.2346374
MemberSocNet	-.5032342	.3030127	-1.66	0.097	-1.097128	.0906598
Q16_R105	-.3114289	.322816	-0.96	0.335	-.9441366	.3212788
Q16_R109	1.610578	.8922179	1.81	0.071	-.1381373	3.359293
_cons	-.1050578	5.540539	-0.02	0.985	-10.96432	10.7542

```

. *margins, dydx(TreatmentControl)
. estat gof
  
```

Logistic model for Q17\_R302, goodness-of-fit test

```

number of observations =      126
number of covariate patterns =    125
Pearson chi2(106) =    125.98
Prob > chi2 =      0.0902
  
```

```

. estat gof, group(20)
  
```

Logistic model for Q17\_R302, goodness-of-fit test

(Table collapsed on quantiles of estimated probabilities)

```

number of observations =      126
number of groups =      20
Hosmer-Lemeshow chi2(18) =    16.97
Prob > chi2 =      0.5249
  
```

**Strategy 305: Change type of food**

```

Logistic regression                               Number of obs   =           96
                                                  Wald chi2(7)    =           .
                                                  Prob > chi2     =           .
Log pseudolikelihood = -16.516937                Pseudo R2      =          0.5858
                                                  (Std. Err. adjusted for 9 clusters in Commune)
  
```

	Q17_R305	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
TreatmentControl		-7.343469	2.483582	-2.96	0.003	-12.2112	-2.475738
Livelihood_Zone		-3.286429	2.450678	-1.34	0.180	-8.089669	1.516811
Q3_2_SexeDuChef		-2.482463	1.639486	-1.51	0.130	-5.695797	.7308716
Q3_3_AgeDuChef		.060101	.2250602	0.27	0.789	-.381009	.501211
AgeDuChef_sq		.0012538	.0019086	0.66	0.511	-.0024869	.0049945
HHSize		-.4882415	.2608402	-1.87	0.061	-.9994789	.022996
Ppal_Activity		-2.720363	2.173476	-1.25	0.211	-6.980298	1.539573
Source_Enrg		0	(omitted)				
Source_Light		0	(omitted)				
Log_Assets		.3669066	.8444223	0.43	0.664	-1.288131	2.021944
Q12_1_DroitsPropriete		-3.703271	1.070004	-3.46	0.001	-5.80044	-1.606101
LogIncome		.1164907	.1553282	0.75	0.453	-.187947	.4209285
Accestoservices		.3361023	.4862392	0.69	0.489	-.6169089	1.289114
AccestoAid		.9860416	.5360956	1.84	0.066	-.0646864	2.03677
AccestoAidSocial		.4944168	.2469141	2.00	0.045	.0104741	.9783595
MemberSocNet		.9402934	.5526485	1.70	0.089	-.1428777	2.023464
Q16_R105		-.6239151	.835881	-0.75	0.455	-2.262212	1.014382
Q16_R106		3.097607	1.423991	2.18	0.030	.306635	5.888578
Q16_R108		5.51958	2.568787	2.15	0.032	.4848503	10.55431
Q16_R109		0	(omitted)				
_cons		-5.915364	9.510848	-0.62	0.534	-24.55628	12.72555

Note: 6 failures and 0 successes completely determined.

```

. margins, dydx(TreatmentControl)
Average marginal effects                               Number of obs   =           96
Model VCE      : Robust
Expression     : Pr(Q17_R305), predict()
dy/dx w.r.t.  : TreatmentControl
  
```

	dy/dx	Delta-method Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
TreatmentControl	-0.3907234	0.0973884	-4.01	0.000	-0.5816012	-0.1998456

```

. estat gof
Logistic model for Q17_R305, goodness-of-fit test
number of observations =          96
number of covariate patterns =          95
Pearson chi2(77) =          38.05
Prob > chi2 =          0.9999
  
```

```

. estat gof, group(20)
Logistic model for Q17_R305, goodness-of-fit test
(Table collapsed on quantiles of estimated probabilities)

number of observations =          96
number of groups =          20
Hosmer-Lemeshow chi2(18) =          8.90
Prob > chi2 =          0.9621
  
```

**Strategy 308: Reduce level of family expenditure**

```

Logistic regression                               Number of obs   =       112
                                                    Wald chi2(8)    =         .
                                                    Prob > chi2     =         .
Log pseudolikelihood = -42.818845                Pseudo R2      =       0.3844
    
```

(Std. Err. adjusted for 10 clusters in Commune)

Q17_R308	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
TreatmentControl	.7760563	.6639955	1.17	0.242	-.5253511	2.077464
Livelihood_Zone	1.374	.7042697	1.95	0.051	-.0063437	2.754343
Q3_2_SexeDuChef	.5982558	.3769696	1.59	0.113	-.1405911	1.337103
Q3_3_AgeDuChef	.089196	.1166379	0.76	0.444	-.13941	.3178021
AgeDuChef_sq	-.0010811	.0012488	-0.87	0.387	-.0035287	.0013665
HHSize	-.0529143	.0862487	-0.61	0.540	-.2219586	.11613
Ppal_Activity	-.9634359	.7318047	-1.32	0.188	-2.397747	.4708748
Source_Enrg	2.776939	2.086673	1.33	0.183	-1.312864	6.866742
Source_Light	1.849116	1.576061	1.17	0.241	-1.239907	4.938138
Log_Assets	.2144078	.3448509	0.62	0.534	-.4614875	.8903031
Q12_1_DroitsPropriete	-2.684855	1.139194	-2.36	0.018	-4.917634	-.4520754
LogIncome	.1869624	.081769	2.29	0.022	.026698	.3472267
Accestoservices	.2168356	.3533358	0.61	0.539	-.4756898	.909361
AccestoAid	.377172	.176341	2.14	0.032	.03155	.722794
AccestoAidSocial	-.3213573	.2331948	-1.38	0.168	-.7784108	.1356961
MemberSocNet	.4078284	.2632105	1.55	0.121	-.1080547	.9237115
Q16_R105	-.2952914	.2829246	-1.04	0.297	-.8498135	.2592306
Q16_R106	.8961507	.3446787	2.60	0.009	.220593	1.571708
Q16_R108	1.823025	1.06792	1.71	0.088	-.2700597	3.916109
Q16_R109	0	(omitted)				
_cons	-10.42452	6.292422	-1.66	0.098	-22.75744	1.908397

```

. estat gof
Logistic model for Q17_R308, goodness-of-fit test
    
```

```

number of observations =       112
number of covariate patterns =     111
Pearson chi2(91) =       89.09
Prob > chi2 =       0.5370
    
```

```

. estat gof, group(20)
Logistic model for Q17_R308, goodness-of-fit test
    
```

(Table collapsed on quantiles of estimated probabilities)

```

number of observations =       112
number of groups =       20
Hosmer-Lemeshow chi2(18) =       8.96
Prob > chi2 =       0.9606
    
```



**Strategy 311: Take loans**

```

Logistic regression                Number of obs   =       122
                                   Wald chi2(8)      =           .
                                   Prob > chi2       =           .
Log pseudolikelihood = -62.09824    Pseudo R2      =       0.1705

```

(Std. Err. adjusted for 10 clusters in Commune)

	Q18_R311	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
TreatmentControl		.0438305	.5359196	0.08	0.935	-1.006553	1.094214
Livelihood_Zone		-.2801588	.4319216	-0.65	0.517	-1.12671	.566392
Q3_2_SexeDuChef		.1555328	.3870674	0.40	0.688	-.6031053	.9141708
Q3_3_AgeDuChef		.1582429	.0671372	2.36	0.018	.0266564	.2898295
AgeDuChef_sq		-.001631	.0008096	-2.01	0.044	-.0032178	-.0000442
HHSize		-.0528875	.0502173	-1.05	0.292	-.1513115	.0455366
Ppal_Activity		.497138	.8027467	0.62	0.536	-1.076217	2.070493
Source_Light		-1.221582	.6231867	-1.96	0.050	-2.443006	-.0001588
Log_Assets		.2004128	.1588382	1.26	0.207	-.1109043	.5117299
LogIncome		-.0541175	.058527	-0.92	0.355	-.1688284	.0605933
Accestoservices		-.0994612	.1928738	-0.52	0.606	-.477487	.2785645
AccestoAid		-.2965802	.1015129	-2.92	0.003	-.4955419	-.0976186
AccestoAidSocial		.0266752	.082769	0.32	0.747	-.135549	.1888993
Q16_R106		1.298027	.6380793	2.03	0.042	.0474144	2.548639
Q16_R108		.2685078	.3977292	0.68	0.500	-.5110272	1.048043
Q16_R109		1.730101	1.342041	1.29	0.197	-.900251	4.360453
_cons		-5.24521	3.044877	-1.72	0.085	-11.21306	.7226393

```

. estat gof
Logistic model for Q18_R311, goodness-of-fit test

```

```

    number of observations =       122
    number of covariate patterns =     121
    Pearson chi2(104) =     127.06
    Prob > chi2 =           0.0619

```

```

. estat gof, group(20)
Logistic model for Q18_R311, goodness-of-fit test

```

(Table collapsed on quantiles of estimated probabilities)

```

    number of observations =       122
    number of groups =           20
    Hosmer-Lemeshow chi2(18) =     10.47
    Prob > chi2 =           0.9156

```

**Strategy 316: Sell assets**

```

Logistic regression                Number of obs   =      102
                                   Wald chi2(8)      =          .
                                   Prob > chi2        =          .
Log pseudolikelihood = -37.985275   Pseudo R2      =      0.4209
    
```

(Std. Err. adjusted for 10 clusters in Commune)

Q18_R316	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
TreatmentControl	-.1467421	1.052814	-0.14	0.889	-2.210219	1.916735
Livelihood_Zone	.3108555	.6264001	0.50	0.620	-.9168661	1.538577
Q3_2_SexeDuChef	.243046	.7697803	0.32	0.752	-1.265696	1.751788
Q3_3_AgeDuChef	-.0006737	.1281258	-0.01	0.996	-.2517956	.2504482
AgeDuChef_sq	-.0002256	.0013397	-0.17	0.866	-.0028513	.0024001
HHSize	.0299874	.0838142	0.36	0.721	-.1342855	.1942603
Ppal_Activity	0	(omitted)				
Source_Enrg	-.5128961	1.703269	-0.30	0.763	-3.851242	2.82545
Source_Light	-.5966772	.8635181	-0.69	0.490	-2.289142	1.095787
Log_Assets	.795864	.3806301	2.09	0.037	.0498427	1.541885
Q12_1_DroitsPropriete	.1644807	.718299	0.23	0.819	-1.243359	1.572321
LogIncome	.2018529	.0886832	2.28	0.023	.028037	.3756687
Accestoservices	-.1682783	.2649017	-0.64	0.525	-.687476	.3509194
AccestoAid	.2400876	.1020442	2.35	0.019	.0400847	.4400905
AccestoAidSocial	-.3306352	.2068142	-1.60	0.110	-.7359837	.0747132
MemberSocNet	-.0864669	.6109495	-0.14	0.887	-1.283906	1.110972
Q16_R105	.0379252	.4022364	0.09	0.925	-.7504436	.826294
Q16_R106	2.527089	1.0091	2.50	0.012	.54929	4.504888
Q16_R108	1.450768	1.073839	1.35	0.177	-.6539173	3.555454
Q16_R109	-2.751852	1.754495	-1.57	0.117	-6.1906	.6868954
_cons	-11.32855	5.740776	-1.97	0.048	-22.58027	-.0768396

```

. estat gof
Logistic model for Q18_R316, goodness-of-fit test
    
```

```

number of observations =      102
number of covariate patterns =      102
Pearson chi2(82) =      82.37
Prob > chi2 =      0.4676
    
```

```

. estat gof, group(20)
Logistic model for Q18_R316, goodness-of-fit test
    
```

(Table collapsed on quantiles of estimated probabilities)

```

number of observations =      102
number of groups =      20
Hosmer-Lemeshow chi2(18) =      18.36
Prob > chi2 =      0.4322
    
```

**Shock 18: Seasonal food shortage (soudure)  
Strategy 302: Reduce food consumption**

```
Mixed-effects logistic regression          Number of obs   =       588
Group variable:      Commune              Number of groups =        12
                                         Obs per group:
                                         min =          5
                                         avg =        49.0
                                         max =        109

Integration method: mvaghermite          Integration pts. =         7
                                         Wald chi2(26)   =       88.50
Log likelihood = -340.00331              Prob > chi2     =       0.0000
```

Q17_R302	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
TreatmentControl	-.1516294	.317665	-0.48	0.633	-.7742413 .4709825
Livelihood_Zone	1.050334	.3884275	2.70	0.007	.2890301 1.811638
Q3_2_SexeDuChef	.3993732	.205678	1.94	0.052	-.0037482 .8024947
Q3_3_AgeDuChef	.0220096	.0395648	0.56	0.578	-.0555359 .0995552
AgeDuChef_sq	-.0002166	.000402	-0.54	0.590	-.0010045 .0005713
HHSsize	.0044099	.0243289	0.18	0.856	-.0432739 .0520938
Q3_6_Principale~e					
2	-.7583638	1.227204	-0.62	0.537	-3.163639 1.646911
4	1.148411	.8260153	1.39	0.164	-.4705491 2.767371
5	-.1260493	.901302	-0.14	0.889	-1.892569 1.64047
11	1.321349	.6820775	1.94	0.053	-.0154985 2.658196
12	.7574845	.9266303	0.82	0.414	-1.058678 2.573646
Q3_7_SourceEner~e					
6	-.3326771	.6580141	-0.51	0.613	-1.622361 .9570068
7	.2302736	.5427128	0.42	0.671	-.8334239 1.293971
Q3_8_SourceEcla~e					
2	.9457998	.6014807	1.57	0.116	-.2330806 2.12468
6	.6115461	.4872194	1.26	0.209	-.3433863 1.566479
Log_Assets	-.2137483	.0902177	-2.37	0.018	-.3905718 -.0369248
Q12_1_DroitsPro~e	-.2487196	.2732215	-0.91	0.363	-.7842238 .2867846
LogIncome	.0134971	.0241233	0.56	0.576	-.0337836 .0607778
Accestoservices	.1725627	.0842164	2.05	0.040	.0075015 .3376239
AccestoAid	.1378532	.0555373	2.48	0.013	.029002 .2467044
AccestoAidSocial	-.1313737	.046894	-2.80	0.005	-.2232843 -.0394631
MemberSocNet	.038212	.1205846	0.32	0.751	-.1981296 .2745536
Q16_R105	-.3823981	.1258293	-3.04	0.002	-.629019 -.1357771
Q16_R106	.5411228	.2439788	2.22	0.027	.0629332 1.019312
Q16_R108	.6216177	.2371688	2.62	0.009	.1567753 1.08646
Q16_R109	1.341358	.3430394	3.91	0.000	.6690129 2.013703
_cons	-.1933431	1.543589	-0.13	0.900	-3.218722 2.832036
Commune					
var(_cons)	.6018358	.3920834			.1678565 2.157834

```
LR test vs. logistic model: chibar2(01) = 14.89    Prob >= chibar2 = 0.0001
```

**Strategy 305: Change type of food**

Mixed-effects logistic regression  
 Group variable: Commune

Number of obs = 579  
 Number of groups = 12  
 Obs per group:  
     min = 5  
     avg = 48.3  
     max = 111

Integration method: mvaghermite  
 Integration pts. = 7  
 Wald chi2(25) = 81.71  
 Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

Log likelihood = -294.1232

Q17_R305	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
TreatmentControl	-.383081	.3222487	-1.19	0.235	-1.014677	.2485149
Livelihood_Zone	.6139476	.3231753	1.90	0.057	-.0194643	1.24736
Q3_2_SexeDuChef	.0941432	.2175447	0.43	0.665	-.3322366	.520523
Q3_3_AgeDuChef	.025904	.0465436	0.56	0.578	-.0653197	.1171278
AgeDuChef_sq	-.0003415	.0004779	-0.71	0.475	-.0012782	.0005952
HHSize	-.0166724	.0283932	-0.59	0.557	-.0723221	.0389773
Q3_6_Principale~e						
5	.0025293	.9625915	0.00	0.998	-1.884115	1.889174
11	1.760471	.6707128	2.62	0.009	.4458986	3.075044
12	.7943419	1.011306	0.79	0.432	-1.187781	2.776465
Q3_7_SourceEner~e						
6	1.600312	.6014365	2.66	0.008	.4215186	2.779106
7	-.1836678	.5686406	-0.32	0.747	-1.298183	.9308474
Q3_8_SourceEcla~e						
2	.3428045	.7049543	0.49	0.627	-1.038881	1.72449
6	.9200013	.5474117	1.68	0.093	-.1529059	1.992908
7	.4899971	1.550214	0.32	0.752	-2.548367	3.528361
Log_Assets	-.1851286	.0957176	-1.93	0.053	-.3727316	.0024744
Q12_1_DroitsPro~e	.5465333	.3022283	1.81	0.071	-.0458233	1.13889
LogIncome	.0873361	.0257495	3.39	0.001	.0368681	.1378041
Accestoservices	.1765538	.0874732	2.02	0.044	.0051095	.3479982
AccestoAid	.0776037	.0588275	1.32	0.187	-.037696	.1929034
AccestoAidSocial	-.1311391	.0497186	-2.64	0.008	-.2285858	-.0336924
MemberSocNet	.2108879	.1318378	1.60	0.110	-.0475095	.4692853
Q16_R105	-.3866997	.1389039	-2.78	0.005	-.6589464	-.114453
Q16_R106	.4128054	.2485216	1.66	0.097	-.074288	.8998987
Q16_R108	.5898407	.2608324	2.26	0.024	.0786187	1.101063
Q16_R109	.8489155	.3184953	2.67	0.008	.2246762	1.473155
_cons	-1.914842	1.689258	-1.13	0.257	-5.225726	1.396042
Commune						
var(_cons)	.1480195	.1522759			.0197079	1.111725

LR test vs. logistic model: chibar2(01) = 1.86      Prob >= chibar2 = 0.0861

**Strategy 308: Reduce level of family expenditure**

Mixed-effects logistic regression  
 Group variable: Commune

Number of obs = 576  
 Number of groups = 12  
 Obs per group:  
     min = 5  
     avg = 48.0  
     max = 103

Integration method: mvaghermite  
 Integration pts. = 7  
 Wald chi2(25) = 89.37  
 Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

Log likelihood = -315.74393

Q17_R308	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
TreatmentControl	-.2617581	.3354156	-0.78	0.435	-.9191606	.3956444
Livelihood_Zone	1.089876	.418619	2.60	0.009	.2693975	1.910354
Q3_2_SexeDuChef	.0034045	.2165461	0.02	0.987	-.4210181	.4278271
Q3_3_AgeDuChef	.0636671	.0452003	1.41	0.159	-.0249238	.1522579
AgeDuChef_sq	-.0008396	.0004728	-1.78	0.076	-.0017663	.0000871
HHSize	-.0150339	.026285	-0.57	0.567	-.0665515	.0364836
Q3_6_Principale~e						
4	-1.058089	1.164922	-0.91	0.364	-3.341294	1.225115
5	.1986953	.9040166	0.22	0.826	-1.573145	1.970535
11	.6981823	.6643119	1.05	0.293	-.6038451	2.00021
12	.6573985	1.095054	0.60	0.548	-1.488867	2.803664
Q3_7_SourceEner~e						
6	.2314453	.6565595	0.35	0.724	-1.055388	1.518278
7	-.8766682	.6937683	-1.26	0.206	-2.236429	.4830927
Q3_8_SourceEcla~e						
2	-.1367316	.7071416	-0.19	0.847	-1.522704	1.24924
6	.4885807	.5318595	0.92	0.358	-.5538448	1.531006
Log_Assets	-.1786392	.0917295	-1.95	0.051	-.3584257	.0011473
Q12_1_DroitsPro~e	-.4070252	.2843815	-1.43	0.152	-.9644028	.1503523
LogIncome	-.0214936	.0252506	-0.85	0.395	-.0709839	.0279966
Accestoservices	.1072006	.0881848	1.22	0.224	-.0656386	.2800397
AccestoAid	.2291827	.0603411	3.80	0.000	.1109164	.347449
AccestoAidSocial	-.0936852	.0483779	-1.94	0.053	-.1885042	.0011338
MemberSocNet	.3207543	.1332533	2.41	0.016	.0595826	.5819261
Q16_R105	-.5562153	.1412281	-3.94	0.000	-.8330172	-.2794134
Q16_R106	.4887998	.2561696	1.91	0.056	-.0132833	.990883
Q16_R108	.7192167	.2511755	2.86	0.004	.2269218	1.211512
Q16_R109	.6078112	.329133	1.85	0.065	-.0372775	1.2529
_cons	.2421045	1.657617	0.15	0.884	-3.006765	3.490974
Commune						
var(_cons)	.9420668	.5765721			.2838715	3.126379

LR test vs. logistic model: chibar2(01) = 22.03      Prob >= chibar2 = 0.0000

**Strategy 311: Take loans**

Mixed-effects logistic regression  
 Group variable: Commune

Number of obs = 597  
 Number of groups = 12  
 Obs per group:  
     min = 5  
     avg = 49.8  
     max = 112

Integration method: mvaghermite  
 Integration pts. = 7  
 Wald chi2(27) = 89.10  
 Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

Log likelihood = -330.35655

	Q18_R311	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
TreatmentControl		.3275774	.3063986	1.07	0.285	-.2729529	.9281076
Livelihood_Zone		-.0986867	.3295446	-0.30	0.765	-.7445823	.5472089
Q3_2_SexeDuChef		-.0769897	.2084421	-0.37	0.712	-.4855288	.3315493
Q3_3_AgeDuChef		-.015418	.0420858	-0.37	0.714	-.0979046	.0670685
AgeDuChef_sq		.0000428	.0004257	0.10	0.920	-.0007916	.0008773
HHSize		.0423055	.0245801	1.72	0.085	-.0058705	.0904816
Q3_6_PrincipaleActivite							
	2	-.6431338	1.03759	-0.62	0.535	-2.676774	1.390506
	4	-1.952403	1.010817	-1.93	0.053	-3.933568	.0287625
	5	.6363938	.9363609	0.68	0.497	-1.19884	2.471627
	11	-.7849755	.6477725	-1.21	0.226	-2.054586	.4846352
	12	-.5131779	.9522353	-0.54	0.590	-2.379525	1.353169
Q3_7_SourceEnergie							
	6	-.206075	.5977448	-0.34	0.730	-1.377633	.9654833
	7	1.419468	.6389566	2.22	0.026	.1671363	2.6718
Q3_8_SourceEclairirage							
	2	-.8990022	.6192378	-1.45	0.147	-2.112686	.3146816
	6	-.0581041	.4822894	-0.12	0.904	-1.003374	.8871657
	7	-.6479969	1.534651	-0.42	0.673	-3.655858	2.359864
Log_Assets		-.3678512	.0895528	-4.11	0.000	-.5433715	-.1923309
Q12_1_DroitsPropriete		-.0909282	.2729723	-0.33	0.739	-.6259441	.4440878
LogIncome		-.0676035	.0235821	-2.87	0.004	-.1138235	-.0213835
Accestoservices		-.101649	.0851995	-1.19	0.233	-.268637	.065339
AccestoAid		-.0456473	.0566534	-0.81	0.420	-.1566859	.0653913
AccestoAidSocial		.0573213	.0440577	1.30	0.193	-.0290301	.1436727
MemberSocNet		.1035664	.1210758	0.86	0.392	-.1337379	.3408706
Q16_R105		-.4342448	.1249635	-3.47	0.001	-.6791689	-.1893208
Q16_R106		.7059644	.2458235	2.87	0.004	.2241592	1.18777
Q16_R108		.4164603	.2447262	1.70	0.089	-.0631941	.8961148
Q16_R109		1.119858	.352227	3.18	0.001	.4295053	1.81021
_cons		5.320222	1.587148	3.35	0.001	2.20947	8.430975
Commune							
	var(_cons)	.2912368	.2405254			.0577111	1.469714

LR test vs. logistic model: chibar2(01) = 4.68      Prob >= chibar2 = 0.0152

**Strategy 316: Sell assets**

Mixed-effects logistic regression  
 Group variable: Commune

Number of obs = 575  
 Number of groups = 12  
 Obs per group:

min = 5  
 avg = 47.9  
 max = 105

Integration method: mvaghermite

Integration pts. = 7

Log likelihood = -280.33302

Wald chi2(25) = 79.73  
 Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

Q18_R316	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
TreatmentControl	-.0895458	.3435367	-0.26	0.794	-.7628653	.5837737
Livelihood_Zone	-.0461531	.4109712	-0.11	0.911	-.8516418	.7593356
Q3_2_SexeDuChef	.0140866	.2345221	0.06	0.952	-.4455683	.4737414
Q3_3_AgeDuChef	.018554	.0492492	0.38	0.706	-.0779727	.1150806
AgeDuChef_sq	-.0003442	.000513	-0.67	0.502	-.0013496	.0006612
HHSize	-.0012209	.0273554	-0.04	0.964	-.0548365	.0523946
Q3_6_Principale~e						
2	1.052863	1.117832	0.94	0.346	-1.138048	3.243774
4	.271559	.9642032	0.28	0.778	-1.618245	2.161363
5	-.8806678	1.202881	-0.73	0.464	-3.238272	1.476936
11	-.0868959	.9109276	-0.10	0.924	-1.872281	1.698489
Q3_7_SourceEner~e						
6	1.017099	.6304239	1.61	0.107	-.2185093	2.252707
7	.9300992	.5677209	1.64	0.101	-.1826133	2.042812
Q3_8_SourceEcla~e						
2	.097601	.6152973	0.16	0.874	-1.10836	1.303562
6	-.6331217	.518282	-1.22	0.222	-1.648936	.3826924
Log_Assets	.1201522	.1013321	1.19	0.236	-.0784551	.3187595
Q12_1_DroitsPro~e	-.1342826	.3070027	-0.44	0.662	-.7359969	.4674318
LogIncome	.1102998	.0280344	3.93	0.000	.0553533	.1652462
Accestoservices	.0716161	.0903732	0.79	0.428	-.1055122	.2487444
AccestoAid	.0116468	.0594712	0.20	0.845	-.1049146	.1282081
AccestoAidSocial	-.0075938	.0495965	-0.15	0.878	-.1048013	.0896136
MemberSocNet	-.0137674	.1365842	-0.10	0.920	-.2814675	.2539327
Q16_R105	-.056753	.1355749	-0.42	0.676	-.3224749	.2089688
Q16_R106	1.89274	.2821544	6.71	0.000	1.339727	2.445752
Q16_R108	-.2103713	.2679866	-0.79	0.432	-.7356155	.3148728
Q16_R109	.0936287	.3564277	0.26	0.793	-.6049568	.7922141
_cons	-3.546201	1.76143	-2.01	0.044	-6.998539	-.0938619
Commune						
var(_cons)	.5251177	.330022			.1532145	1.799755

LR test vs. logistic model: chibar2(01) = 15.77

Prob >= chibar2 = 0.0000

# Appendix 6. Details of the 10 logit models estimated across the 5 major shocks/stressors and 2 main types of adaptive/transformative strategies

## Shock 1: Seasonal drought

### Strategy 324: Establish plan for agriculture

```
Logistic regression      Number of obs      =      719
                        Wald chi2(10)      =      .
                        Prob > chi2       =      .
Log pseudolikelihood = -364.89588      Pseudo R2         =      0.1100
```

(Std. Err. adjusted for 12 clusters in Commune)

	Q19_R324	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
TreatmentCon~l		-.1076222	.3903339	-0.28	0.783	-.8726626 .6574182
Livelihood_Z~e		.0732341	.1595492	0.46	0.646	-.2394765 .3859448
Q3_2_SexeDuC~f		-.7222586	.2179689	-3.31	0.001	-1.14947 -.2950474
Q3_3_AgeDuChef		.0722828	.0521773	1.39	0.166	-.0299828 .1745485
AgeDuChef_sq		-.0008994	.0005711	-1.57	0.115	-.0020186 .0002199
HHSize		.006001	.0142496	0.42	0.674	-.0219277 .0339296
Ppal_Activity		.55246	.5689118	0.97	0.332	-.5625867 1.667507
Source_Enrg		-1.202337	.277192	-4.34	0.000	-1.745623 -.6590506
Source_Light		-.4914446	.2790186	-1.76	0.078	-1.038311 .0554219
Log_Assets		.2421582	.0956331	2.53	0.011	.0547208 .4295955
Q12_1_Droits~e		-.1517437	.3610273	-0.42	0.674	-.8593443 .5558569
LogIncome		-.0203913	.066039	-0.31	0.757	-.1498254 .1090429
Accestoservi~s		.2136734	.0875099	2.44	0.015	.0421572 .3851896
AccestoAid		.0684729	.0456187	1.50	0.133	-.020938 .1578839
AccestoAidSo~l		.1088784	.026721	4.07	0.000	.0565062 .1612506
Q16_R105		-.116164	.2303283	-0.50	0.614	-.5675991 .3352712
Q16_R106		.1948006	.4874196	0.40	0.689	-.7605242 1.150125
Q16_R108		.5462782	.3395447	1.61	0.108	-.1192172 1.211774
Q16_R109		-.4546001	.6197503	-0.73	0.463	-1.669288 .7600882
_cons		-4.317101	1.317465	-3.28	0.001	-6.899285 -1.734917

```
. estat gof
Logistic model for Q19_R324, goodness-of-fit test
```

```
number of observations =      719
number of covariate patterns =      713
Pearson chi2(693) =      720.69
Prob > chi2 =      0.2260
```

```
. estat gof, group(20)
Logistic model for Q19_R324, goodness-of-fit test
```

(Table collapsed on quantiles of estimated probabilities)

```
number of observations =      719
number of groups =      20
Hosmer-Lemeshow chi2(18) =      19.32
Prob > chi2 =      0.3725
```



**Strategy 326: Establish plan for other activities**

```

Logistic regression                Number of obs   =       735
                                   Wald chi2(10)    =           .
                                   Prob > chi2       =           .
Log pseudolikelihood = -364.57958  Pseudo R2      =       0.1467
    
```

(Std. Err. adjusted for 12 clusters in Commune)

Q19_R326	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
TreatmentCon~1	.5572413	.2646342	2.11	0.035	.0385678	1.075915
Livelihood_Z~e	-.0111168	.4500822	-0.02	0.980	-.8932617	.871028
Q3_2_SexeDuC~f	-.4494068	.2427674	-1.85	0.064	-.9252222	.0264086
Q3_3_AgeDuChef	.0011687	.0488636	0.02	0.981	-.0946021	.0969395
AgeDuChef_sq	.0001659	.0004808	0.35	0.730	-.0007764	.0011083
HHSIZE	-.0262067	.0287161	-0.91	0.361	-.0824891	.0300758
Ppal_Activity	.5223607	.4512882	1.16	0.247	-.3621479	1.406869
Source_Enrg	.0044369	.8962093	0.00	0.996	-1.752101	1.760975
Source_Light	-.5535133	.4086131	-1.35	0.176	-1.35438	.2473536
Log_Assets	-.0865547	.1172453	-0.74	0.460	-.3163512	.1432419
Q12_1_Droits~e	.104289	.3344659	0.31	0.755	-.5512522	.7598302
LogIncome	.0904626	.0540837	1.67	0.094	-.0155394	.1964647
AccestoAid	.2008081	.0570735	3.52	0.000	.0889461	.31267
Q16_R105	-.3052871	.2183693	-1.40	0.162	-.733283	.1227088
Q16_R106	.1292233	.5804553	0.22	0.824	-1.008448	1.266895
Q16_R108	.443587	.3510459	1.26	0.206	-.2444503	1.131624
Q16_R109	-2.36217	.8713591	-2.71	0.007	-4.070002	-.6543372
_cons	-1.079394	1.376162	-0.78	0.433	-3.776621	1.617833

```

. margins, dydx(TreatmentControl)
Average marginal effects                Number of obs   =       735
Model VCE      : Robust
    
```

```

Expression      : Pr(Q19_R326), predict()
dy/dx w.r.t.   : TreatmentControl
    
```

	dy/dx	Delta-method Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
TreatmentCon~1	.0908142	.0478848	1.90	0.058	-.0030383	.1846666

```

. estat gof
Logistic model for Q19_R326, goodness-of-fit test
    
```

```

number of observations =       735
number of covariate patterns =     725
Pearson chi2(707) =     730.70
Prob > chi2 =         0.2608
    
```

```

. estat gof, group(20)
Logistic model for Q19_R326, goodness-of-fit test
    
```

(Table collapsed on quantiles of estimated probabilities)

```

number of observations =       735
number of groups =         20
Hosmer-Lemeshow chi2(18) =     15.45
Prob > chi2 =         0.6310
    
```

**Shock 18: Seasonal food shortage**  
**Strategy 324: Establish plan for agriculture**

```
Logistic regression                               Number of obs   =       585
                                                  Wald chi2(10)   =       .
                                                  Prob > chi2     =       .
Log pseudolikelihood = -317.73419                Pseudo R2      =       0.1435
```

(Std. Err. adjusted for 12 clusters in Commune)

Q19_R324	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
TreatmentCon~1	.6664841	.1987572	3.35	0.001	.2769272	1.056041
Livelihood_Z~e	.3790803	.561235	0.68	0.499	-.7209201	1.479081
Q3_2_SexeDuC~f	-.612609	.2653673	-2.31	0.021	-1.132719	-.0924986
Q3_3_AgeDuChef	-.0414959	.0368933	-1.12	0.261	-.1138056	.0308137
AgeDuChef_sq	.0002995	.0003646	0.82	0.411	-.0004151	.001014
HHSIZE	.0093605	.0221767	0.42	0.673	-.0341049	.052826
Ppal_Activity	.4895096	.6353867	0.77	0.441	-.7558255	1.734845
Source_Enrg	.4931749	.4753846	1.04	0.300	-.4385617	1.424912
Source_Light	-.52118	.4085429	-1.28	0.202	-1.321909	.2795493
Log_Assets	.0391425	.1129464	0.35	0.729	-.1822283	.2605133
Q12_1_Droits~e	-.0673939	.4968329	-0.14	0.892	-1.041168	.9063806
LogIncome	-.0680172	.0424127	-1.60	0.109	-.1511446	.0151103
Accestoservi~s	-.0421547	.0782769	-0.54	0.590	-.1955746	.1112653
AccestoAid	.1686616	.0739617	2.28	0.023	.0236993	.3136239
AccestoAidSo~l	.0517766	.0639726	0.81	0.418	-.0736074	.1771606
Q16_R105	.6137291	.0749575	8.19	0.000	.4668151	.7606431
Q16_R106	.4006924	.2844297	1.41	0.159	-.1567796	.9581644
Q16_R108	.713107	.2104098	3.39	0.001	.3007115	1.125503
Q16_R109	-.4893615	.5844053	-0.84	0.402	-1.634775	.6560518
_cons	-1.502878	2.13916	-0.70	0.482	-5.695555	2.689799

```
. margins, dydx(TreatmentControl)
```

```
Average marginal effects                               Number of obs   =       585
Model VCE      : Robust
```

```
Expression      : Pr(Q19_R324), predict()
dy/dx w.r.t.    : TreatmentControl
```

	dy/dx	Delta-method Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
TreatmentCon~1	.1215396	.0379318	3.20	0.001	.0471946	.1958846

```
. estat gof
```

```
Logistic model for Q19_R324, goodness-of-fit test
```

```
number of observations =       585
number of covariate patterns =     578
Pearson chi2(558) =     572.88
Prob > chi2 =           0.3222
```

```
. estat gof, group(20)
```

```
Logistic model for Q19_R324, goodness-of-fit test
```

(Table collapsed on quantiles of estimated probabilities)

```
number of observations =       585
number of groups =           20
Hosmer-Lemeshow chi2(18) =     21.50
Prob > chi2 =           0.2550
```

**Strategy 326: Establish plan for other activities**

```

Logistic regression      Number of obs   =      574
                        Wald chi2(10)      =          .
                        Prob > chi2       =          .
Log pseudolikelihood = -276.21751      Pseudo R2      =      0.1822
    
```

(Std. Err. adjusted for 12 clusters in Commune)

```

-----+-----
          |               Robust
          |               Coef.   Std. Err.      z    P>|z|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
TreatmentCon~1 |   .0609932   .3364583    0.18  0.856   - .5984528   .7204393
Livelihood_Z~e |  -.4867401   .3710164   -1.31  0.190   -1.213919   .2404386
Q3_2_SexeDuC~f |  -.2094069   .3402622   -0.62  0.538   - .8763085   .4574947
Q3_3_AgeDuChef |  -.0310416   .0304593   -1.02  0.308   - .0907408   .0286576
  AgeDuChef_sq |   .0001936   .0002663    0.73  0.467   - .0003283   .0007156
    HHSIZE     |   .002306    .034316    0.07  0.946   - .064952    .0695641
Ppal_Activity |   .7497414   .5496194    1.36  0.173   - .3274928   1.826976
  Source_Enrg |  -.4015857   .7226487   -0.56  0.578   -1.817951    1.01478
  Source_Light |  -.6010931   .3556271   -1.69  0.091   -1.298109   .0959231
    Log_Assets |   .0768067   .107043    0.72  0.473   - .1329938   .2866071
Q12_1_Droits~e |   .5626025   .2921378    1.93  0.054   - .009977    1.135182
  LogIncome   |   .0473532   .0688438    0.69  0.492   - .0875782   .1822845
Accestoservi~s |   .2591133   .1341338    1.93  0.053   - .0037841   .5220107
  AccestoAid |   .0774634   .0830763    0.93  0.351   - .0853632   .2402901
AccestoAidSo~1 |  -.0025556   .0727884   -0.04  0.972   - .1452182   .1401071
  MemberSocNet |   .1349334   .1094869    1.23  0.218   - .079657    .3495238
    Q16_R105   |   .2742491   .108369    2.53  0.011   .0618499    .4866484
    Q16_R106   |   .7980293   .3588089    2.22  0.026   .0947768    1.501282
    Q16_R108   |   .9943152   .3474549    2.86  0.004   .3133162    1.675314
    Q16_R109   |  -1.164889   .6563605   -1.77  0.076   -2.451332   .1215538
      _cons    |  -3.460267   1.946665   -1.78  0.075   -7.27566    .3551271
-----+-----
    
```

```

. estat gof
Logistic model for Q19_R326, goodness-of-fit test
    
```

```

      number of observations =      574
      number of covariate patterns =      568
      Pearson chi2(547) =      565.94
      Prob > chi2 =      0.2788
    
```

```

. estat gof, group(25)
Logistic model for Q19_R326, goodness-of-fit test
    
```

(Table collapsed on quantiles of estimated probabilities)

```

      number of observations =      574
      number of groups =      25
      Hosmer-Lemeshow chi2(23) =      23.42
      Prob > chi2 =      0.4362
    
```

**Shock 14: Serious illness,  
Strategy 324: Establish plan for agriculture**

```
Logistic regression      Number of obs   =      440
                        Wald chi2(10)            =      .
                        Prob > chi2              =      .
Log pseudolikelihood = -97.07261                Pseudo R2       =      0.1891
```

(Std. Err. adjusted for 12 clusters in Commune)

Q19_R324	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
TreatmentCon~1	.7336534	.3168686	2.32	0.021	.1126023	1.354705
Livelihood_Z~e	.4783563	.2982112	1.60	0.109	-.106127	1.06284
Q3_2_SexeDuC~f	-1.435287	.429206	-3.34	0.001	-2.276515	-.5940587
Q3_3_AgeDuChef	.1181107	.0822483	1.44	0.151	-.0430931	.2793144
AgeDuChef_sq	-.0013101	.0009134	-1.43	0.152	-.0031004	.0004803
HHSize	-.0568788	.0351191	-1.62	0.105	-.125711	.0119533
Ppal_Activity	-.6013528	.6511318	-0.92	0.356	-1.877548	.6748422
Source_Enrg	-.2978345	.7973655	-0.37	0.709	-1.860642	1.264973
Source_Light	.3031535	.3205509	0.95	0.344	-.3251147	.9314218
Log_Assets	.009843	.1473503	0.07	0.947	-.2789582	.2986442
LogIncome	-.0474851	.0511446	-0.93	0.353	-.1477266	.0527564
AccestoAid	.2550459	.0999245	2.55	0.011	.0591974	.4508943
AccestoAidSo~1	-.1466694	.1289763	-1.14	0.255	-.3994583	.1061195
Q16_R105	.1617417	.2637105	0.61	0.540	-.3551214	.6786048
Q16_R106	1.938816	.5276814	3.67	0.000	.90458	2.973053
Q16_R108	-.0541605	.6530093	-0.08	0.934	-1.334035	1.225714
Q16_R109	.044122	1.044475	0.04	0.966	-2.003011	2.091255
_cons	-3.473884	2.532518	-1.37	0.170	-8.437528	1.48976

```
. margins, dydx(TreatmentControl)
Average marginal effects      Number of obs   =      440
Model VCE      : Robust
```

```
Expression      : Pr(Q19_R324), predict()
dy/dx w.r.t.    : TreatmentControl
```

	dy/dx	Delta-method Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
TreatmentCon~1	.0450291	.0184204	2.44	0.015	.0089257	.0811325

```
. estat gof
Logistic model for Q19_R324, goodness-of-fit test
```

```
number of observations =      440
number of covariate patterns =    430
Pearson chi2(412) =    438.32
Prob > chi2 =      0.1785
```

```
. estat gof, group(25)
Logistic model for Q19_R324, goodness-of-fit test
```

(Table collapsed on quantiles of estimated probabilities)

```
number of observations =      440
number of groups =      25
Hosmer-Lemeshow chi2(23) =    15.91
Prob > chi2 =      0.8590
```

**Strategy 326: Establish plan for other activities**

```

Logistic regression                               Number of obs   =       429
                                                    Wald chi2(10)   =         .
                                                    Prob > chi2     =         .
Log pseudolikelihood = -86.468705                Pseudo R2      =       0.2231
    
```

(Std. Err. adjusted for 12 clusters in Commune)

Q19_R326	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
TreatmentCon~1	1.353024	.3891547	3.48	0.001	.5902947	2.115753
Livelihood_Z~e	.1201306	.4582709	0.26	0.793	-.7780637	1.018325
Q3_2_SexeDuC~f	-.3874287	.7940037	-0.49	0.626	-1.943647	1.16879
Q3_3_AgeDuChef	-.0071489	.0719784	-0.10	0.921	-.1482241	.1339262
AgeDuChef_sq	.0002149	.0006863	0.31	0.754	-.0011303	.00156
HHSIZE	.0076297	.0250181	0.30	0.760	-.0414049	.0566643
Ppal_Activity	-1.185391	.6043481	-1.96	0.050	-2.369892	-.0008906
Source_Enrg	-.2215335	.5758755	-0.38	0.700	-1.350229	.9071619
Source_Light	.0012543	.4487858	0.00	0.998	-.8783497	.8808583
Log_Assets	.207292	.1212079	1.71	0.087	-.0302712	.4448551
Q12_1_Droits~e	1.743223	.3627664	4.81	0.000	1.032214	2.454232
LogIncome	.0336378	.0756299	0.44	0.656	-.1145941	.1818696
AccestoAid	.1878421	.060112	3.12	0.002	.0700247	.3056594
AccestoAidSo~1	-.1044976	.0408165	-2.56	0.010	-.1844966	-.0244987
Q16_R105	.3025757	.2478445	1.22	0.222	-.1831906	.7883421
Q16_R106	.4525799	.5259619	0.86	0.390	-.5782864	1.483446
Q16_R108	.5237668	.652462	0.80	0.422	-.7550352	1.802569
Q16_R109	-.088809	.6454255	-0.14	0.891	-1.35382	1.176202
_cons	-7.244953	2.690588	-2.69	0.007	-12.51841	-1.971497

. margins, dydx(TreatmentControl)

```

Average marginal effects                               Number of obs   =       429
Model VCE      : Robust
    
```

Expression : Pr(Q19\_R326), predict()  
dy/dx w.r.t. : TreatmentControl

	dy/dx	Delta-method Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
TreatmentCon~1	.0742328	.0209096	3.55	0.000	.0332508	.1152149

. estat gof

Logistic model for Q19\_R326, goodness-of-fit test

```

number of observations =       429
number of covariate patterns =     418
Pearson chi2(399) =     320.83
Prob > chi2 =         0.9984
    
```

. estat gof, group(25)

Logistic model for Q19\_R326, goodness-of-fit test

(Table collapsed on quantiles of estimated probabilities)

```

number of observations =       429
number of groups =         25
Hosmer-Lemeshow chi2(23) =     18.01
Prob > chi2 =         0.7571
    
```

**Shock 6: Armyworm Attack**  
**Strategy 324: Establish plan for agriculture**

```
Logistic regression                Number of obs    =      263
                                   Wald chi2(10)     =          .
                                   Prob > chi2        =          .
Log pseudolikelihood = -74.870121  Pseudo R2       =    0.1397
```

(Std. Err. adjusted for 12 clusters in Commune)

	Q19_R324	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
TreatmentCon~1		1.264806	.5353906	2.36	0.018	.2154594	2.314152
Livelihood_Z~e		.1190136	.7032792	0.17	0.866	-1.259388	1.497415
Q3_3_AgeDuChef		.06889	.1148211	0.60	0.549	-.1561552	.2939352
AgeDuChef_sq		-.0008232	.0012925	-0.64	0.524	-.0033564	.00171
HHSIZE		.0453827	.0620575	0.73	0.465	-.0762478	.1670132
Ppal_Activity		-.5855076	.5786799	-1.01	0.312	-1.719699	.5486842
Source_Light		.02086	.6131166	0.03	0.973	-1.180826	1.222546
Log_Assets		.1684549	.2693832	0.63	0.532	-.3595264	.6964363
Q12_1_Droits~e		1.667171	1.330792	1.25	0.210	-.9411329	4.275476
LogIncome		-.0142084	.0207128	-0.69	0.493	-.0548047	.0263879
AccestoAid		.1521849	.0762357	2.00	0.046	.0027657	.3016042
AccestoAidSo~1		.0305168	.1115537	0.27	0.784	-.1881244	.249158
Q16_R105		-.0487315	.289645	-0.17	0.866	-.6164252	.5189623
Q16_R106		.363894	.4085797	0.89	0.373	-.4369076	1.164696
Q16_R108		-.495394	.4888374	-1.01	0.311	-1.453498	.4627097
_cons		-7.527782	4.626855	-1.63	0.104	-16.59625	1.540687

```
. margins, dydx(TreatmentControl)
Average marginal effects                Number of obs    =      263
Model VCE      : Robust
```

```
Expression      : Pr(Q19_R324), predict()
dy/dx w.r.t.   : TreatmentControl
```

	dy/dx	Delta-method Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
TreatmentCon~1	.1031077	.0313903	3.28	0.001	.0415838	.1646316

```
. estat gof
Logistic model for Q19_R324, goodness-of-fit test
```

```
number of observations =      263
number of covariate patterns =    262
Pearson chi2(246) =    276.78
Prob > chi2 =          0.0864
```

```
. estat gof, group(20)
Logistic model for Q19_R324, goodness-of-fit test
```

(Table collapsed on quantiles of estimated probabilities)

```
number of observations =      263
number of groups =      20
Hosmer-Lemeshow chi2(18) =    16.82
Prob > chi2 =          0.5354
```

**Strategy 326: Establish plan for other activities**

```

Logistic regression      Number of obs   =      224
                        Wald chi2(8)         =          .
                        Prob > chi2          =          .
Log pseudolikelihood = -74.914771      Pseudo R2       =      0.1123
    
```

(Std. Err. adjusted for 10 clusters in Commune)

```

-----+-----
          |               Robust
          |               Coef.   Std. Err.      z    P>|z|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
TreatmentCon~1 |  -.3386055   .694696   -0.49   0.626   -1.700185   1.022974
Livelihood_Z~e |  -.098287    .7818146  -0.13   0.900   -1.630615   1.434041
Q3_2_SexeDuC~f |  -.1270897   .7067537  -0.18   0.857   -1.512301   1.258122
Q3_3_AgeDuChef |   .0233812   .0835063   0.28   0.779   -.1402882   .1870506
  AgeDuChef_sq |  -.0004862   .0007785  -0.62   0.532   -.002012    .0010396
  HHSIZE       |  -.0328126   .0586803  -0.56   0.576   -.1478238   .0821987
  Ppal_Activity |   .8878544   .888062    1.00   0.317   -.8527151   2.628424
Q3_7_SourceE~e |
  6           |   1.579705   .5052059   3.13   0.002   .5895193    2.56989
  7           |   .9192266   1.691597   0.54   0.587   -2.396243   4.234696
Q3_8_SourceE~e |
  2           |  -1.565367   1.089615  -1.44   0.151   -3.700972   .5702382
  3           |              0 (empty)
  6           |  -1.662485   1.008209  -1.65   0.099   -3.638538   .3135691
  Log_Assets   |   .3581836   .1475995   2.43   0.015   .0688938    .6474734
Q12_1_Droits~e |              0 (omitted)
  LogIncome   |  -.0019117   .0430053  -0.04   0.965   -.0862005   .0823771
Accestoservi~s |   .2827524   .1302308   2.17   0.030   .0275047    .5380001
  AccestoAid  |   .04338     .1625973   0.27   0.790   -.275305    .3620649
AccestoAidSo~l |  -.0627294   .0934394  -0.67   0.502   -.2458672   .1204085
  MemberSocNet |   .1699118   .157226    1.08   0.280   -.1382456   .4780692
  Q16_R105   |  -.122785    .3743582  -0.33   0.743   -.8565136   .6109436
  Q16_R106   |  -.4528221   .4857261  -0.93   0.351   -1.404828   .4991834
  Q16_R108   |   .4761789   .4050671   1.18   0.240   -.3177381   1.270096
  Q16_R109   |  -.0480925   1.341104  -0.04   0.971   -2.676608   2.580423
  _cons      |  -5.219303   2.839786  -1.84   0.066  -10.78518   .3465757
-----+-----
    
```

```

. estat gof
Logistic model for Q19_R326, goodness-of-fit test

      number of observations =      224
      number of covariate patterns =      221
      Pearson chi2(199) =      212.94
      Prob > chi2 =      0.2369
    
```

```

. estat gof, group(20)
Logistic model for Q19_R326, goodness-of-fit test
    
```

(Table collapsed on quantiles of estimated probabilities)

```

      number of observations =      224
      number of groups =      20
      Hosmer-Lemeshow chi2(18) =      15.42
      Prob > chi2 =      0.6328
    
```

Shock 10: Forte poussée des prix  
 Strategy 324: Establish plan for agriculture

```
Logistic regression                                     Number of obs = 120
                                                       Wald chi2(8) = .
                                                       Prob > chi2 = .
Log pseudolikelihood = -17.17036                      Pseudo R2 = 0.6914
```

(Std. Err. adjusted for 10 clusters in Commune)

Q19_R324	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
TreatmentCon~1	6.644869	1.894667	3.51	0.000	2.931391	10.35835
Livelihood_Z~e	2.695458	.873749	3.08	0.002	.9829419	4.407975
Q3_2_SexeDuC~f	-2.442253	1.446475	-1.69	0.091	-5.277291	.3927845
Q3_3_AgeDuChef	.6158198	.2596765	2.37	0.018	.1068632	1.124776
AgeDuChef_sq	-.0085637	.0027849	-3.07	0.002	-.0140221	-.0031053
HHSize	.4619741	.1701853	2.71	0.007	.128417	.7955312
Source_Enrg	-10.85902	2.021325	-5.37	0.000	-14.82074	-6.897293
Source_Light	5.145107	1.618216	3.18	0.001	1.973461	8.316752
Log_Assets	2.439962	.4713308	5.18	0.000	1.51617	3.363753
Q12_1_Droits~e	.15513	1.917582	0.08	0.936	-3.603262	3.913522
LogIncome	.9679516	.2749394	3.52	0.000	.4290803	1.506823
Accestoservi~s	-.6784527	.6140775	-1.10	0.269	-1.882023	.5251171
AccestoAid	1.587031	.6413279	2.47	0.013	.330051	2.84401
AccestoAidSo~l	-.2276809	.2133075	-1.07	0.286	-.645756	.1903942
MemberSocNet	1.40561	1.072871	1.31	0.190	-.6971783	3.508398
Q16_R105	-.9012306	.2373118	-3.80	0.000	-1.366353	-.4361081
Q16_R106	4.298809	1.344142	3.20	0.001	1.66434	6.933278
Q16_R108	-2.112383	1.692743	-1.25	0.212	-5.430097	1.205332
Q16_R109	7.148629	3.131735	2.28	0.022	1.010541	13.28672
_cons	-49.11202	8.85612	-5.55	0.000	-66.4697	-31.75435

Note: 17 failures and 0 successes completely determined.

```
. margins, dydx(TreatmentControl)
Average marginal effects                               Number of obs = 120
Model VCE      : Robust
```

```
Expression   : Pr(Q19_R324), predict()
dy/dx w.r.t. : TreatmentControl
```

	dy/dx	Delta-method Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
TreatmentCon~1	.2990693	.0782972	3.82	0.000	.1456096	.452529

```
. estat gof
Logistic model for Q19_R324, goodness-of-fit test
```

```
number of observations = 120
number of covariate patterns = 119
Pearson chi2(99) = 64.02
Prob > chi2 = 0.9975
```

```
. estat gof, group(20)
Logistic model for Q19_R324, goodness-of-fit test
```

(Table collapsed on quantiles of estimated probabilities)

```
number of observations = 120
number of groups = 20
Hosmer-Lemeshow chi2(18) = 11.57
Prob > chi2 = 0.8686
```



**Strategy 326: Establish plan for other activities**

```

Logistic regression                Number of obs   =      120
                                   Wald chi2(8)      =          .
                                   Prob > chi2        =          .
Log pseudolikelihood = -43.136547   Pseudo R2      =      0.3258
    
```

(Std. Err. adjusted for 10 clusters in Commune)

Q19_R326	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
TreatmentCon~1	1.059582	.7650353	1.39	0.166	-.4398592	2.559024
Livelihood_Z~e	-.9416979	.7862144	-1.20	0.231	-2.48265	.5992539
Q3_2_SexeDuC~f	.5052547	.5626692	0.90	0.369	-.5975567	1.608066
Q3_3_AgeDuChef	-.115327	.0744537	-1.55	0.121	-.2612537	.0305996
AgeDuChef_sq	.0009565	.0008605	1.11	0.266	-.00073	.002643
HHSize	.1593056	.0527204	3.02	0.003	.0559755	.2626357
Ppal_Activity	1.820239	1.877075	0.97	0.332	-1.858761	5.499239
Source_Enrg	-.6965372	1.19313	-0.58	0.559	-3.035028	1.641954
Source_Light	1.069577	.7315922	1.46	0.144	-.3643172	2.503471
Log_Assets	.2618716	.4381583	0.60	0.550	-.5969029	1.120646
Q12_1_Droits~e	1.009131	.7870908	1.28	0.200	-.5335388	2.551801
LogIncome	.1372431	.0636807	2.16	0.031	.0124313	.2620549
Accestoservi~s	.1216718	.3394988	0.36	0.720	-.5437336	.7870772
AccestoAid	.3108056	.1956948	1.59	0.112	-.0727492	.6943605
AccestoAidSo~l	-.1391525	.0749915	-1.86	0.064	-.2861332	.0078282
Q16_R105	.0956126	.242868	0.39	0.694	-.3804	.5716251
Q16_R106	-1.85416	.9219768	-2.01	0.044	-3.661201	-.0471185
Q16_R108	1.667284	.6941203	2.40	0.016	.3068329	3.027734
Q16_R109	-1.296501	1.687673	-0.77	0.442	-4.60428	2.011278
_cons	-8.612441	4.763449	-1.81	0.071	-17.94863	.7237474

```

. estat gof
Logistic model for Q19_R326, goodness-of-fit test
    
```

```

number of observations =      120
number of covariate patterns =    119
Pearson chi2(99) =      77.69
Prob > chi2 =      0.9442
    
```

```

. estat gof, group(20)
Logistic model for Q19_R326, goodness-of-fit test
    
```

(Table collapsed on quantiles of estimated probabilities)

```

number of observations =      120
number of groups =      20
Hosmer-Lemeshow chi2(18) =    16.25
Prob > chi2 =      0.5754
    
```

# Appendix 7. PSM intermediary calculations and models for Hypothesis 2.

## PSM per Shock #1 = Seasonal Drought

```
*****
Algorithm to estimate the propensity score
*****
```

The treatment is TreatmentControl

TreatmentCo	Freq.	Percent	Cum.
0	304	53.71	53.71
1	262	46.29	100.00
Total	566	100.00	

Estimation of the propensity score

```
Probit regression                               Number of obs   =      400
                                                LR chi2(28)     =     309.02
                                                Prob > chi2     =      0.0000
Log likelihood = -120.74611                    Pseudo R2      =      0.5613
```

TreatmentC~1	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
LZ	.2231971	.2278862	0.98	0.327	-.2234517 .6698459
Q3_2_SexeD~f	-.2039263	.2094585	-0.97	0.330	-.6144574 .2066048
Q3_3_AgeDu~f	.0071913	.0071976	1.00	0.318	-.0069158 .0212984
HHSize	.0082113	.0197938	0.41	0.678	-.0305839 .0470065
PR_Activi~_1	5.262105	164.3442	0.03	0.974	-316.8466 327.3708
PR_Activi~_2	5.152821	164.3505	0.03	0.975	-316.9683 327.2739
PR_Activit~4	4.89978	164.3453	0.03	0.976	-317.2111 327.0107
PR_Activit~6	1.456138	.	.	.	.
Source_Lig~2	-.169835	.4286392	-0.40	0.692	-1.009952 .6702824
Source_Lig~6	-.2142244	.3342991	-0.64	0.522	-.8694387 .4409899
ln_Value A~s	-.0400198	.0946577	-0.42	0.672	-.2255455 .1455059
Q12_1_Droi~e	.1040458	.2959695	0.35	0.725	-.4760438 .6841354
ln_Income	-.128079	.1092843	-1.17	0.241	-.3422723 .0861144
Accestoser~s	.286712	.073788	3.89	0.000	.1420902 .4313339
AccestoAid	-.0126445	.0497432	-0.25	0.799	-.1101394 .0848504
AccestoAid~1	.0390672	.049856	0.78	0.433	-.0586487 .1367832
MemberSocNet	2.043937	.2150082	9.51	0.000	1.622529 2.465345
Q17_R302	.4063056	.2680693	1.52	0.130	-.1191005 .9317118
Q17_R305	-.4212635	.2899523	-1.45	0.146	-.9895596 .1470326
Q17_R308	-.0326907	.2566413	-0.13	0.899	-.5356984 .4703169
Q18_R311	.1721368	.2257743	0.76	0.446	-.2703726 .6146462
Q18_R316	-.3709323	.2376265	-1.56	0.119	-.8366717 .0948072
Q19_R324	-.341293	.2440953	-1.40	0.162	-.819711 .137125
Q19_R326	.1380732	.2359119	0.59	0.558	-.3243056 .600452
Q16_R105	.0784066	.1123412	0.70	0.485	-.1417781 .2985914
Q16_R106	-.1113039	.238851	-0.47	0.641	-.5794433 .3568355
Q16_R108	-.163316	.2578479	-0.63	0.526	-.6686886 .3420566
Q16_R109	-.2263643	.5381878	-0.42	0.674	-1.281193 .8284644
_cons	-5.836362	164.3565	-0.04	0.972	-327.9691 316.2964

Note: 4 failures and 22 successes completely determined.

Note: the common support option has been selected  
The region of common support is [.02144591, 1]

Description of the estimated propensity score  
in region of common support

Estimated propensity score	
Percentiles	Smallest

```

1%      .0287834      .0214459
5%      .0425889      .0243933
10%     .0522041      .0277922      Obs          362
25%     .114684      .0287834      Sum of Wgt.  362

50%     .323943
          Largest
75%     .9130504      1
90%     .9999994      1      Variance     .1488787
95%     1              1      Skewness     .1655684
99%     1              1      Kurtosis     1.275691

```

```

*****
Step 1: Identification of the optimal number of blocks
Use option detail if you want more detailed output
*****

```

The final number of blocks is 6  
This number of blocks ensures that the mean propensity score  
is not different for treated and controls in each blocks

```

*****
Step 2: Test of balancing property of the propensity score
Use option detail if you want more detailed output
*****

```

The balancing property is satisfied

This table shows the inferior bound, the number of treated  
and the number of controls for each block

Inferior of block of pscore	Treatment	Control	Total
	0	1	
.0214459	119	13	132
.2	39	17	56
.4	10	6	16
.6	9	15	24
.8	5	129	134
Total	182	180	362

Note: the common support option has been selected

```

*****
End of the algorithm to estimate the pscore
*****

```

Variable	Sample	Treated	Controls	Difference	S.E.	T-stat
Self_assessed_d	Unmatched	9.7	9.48636364	.213636364	.582792155	0.37
	ATT	9.5	8.17333333	1.32666667	1.15622937	1.15
	ATU	9.24175824	6.89450549	-2.34725275	.	.
	ATE			-1.13161765	.	.

Note: S.E. does not take into account that the propensity score is estimated.

PSM per Shock #6 = Armyworm attack

\*\*\*\*\*  
 Algorithm to estimate the propensity score  
 \*\*\*\*\*

The treatment is TreatmentControl

TreatmentCo	Freq.	Percent	Cum.
ntrol			
0	139	65.88	65.88
1	72	34.12	100.00
Total	211	100.00	

Probit regression Number of obs = 140  
LR chi2(25) = 138.12  
Prob > chi2 = 0.0000  
 Log likelihood = -20.282213 Pseudo R2 = 0.7730

TreatmentC~1	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
lZ	1.85218	.7871637	2.35	0.019	.309367 3.394992
Q3_2_SexeD~f	1.593728	.7656458	2.08	0.037	.0930901 3.094367
Q3_3_AgeDu~f	.0224887	.0225272	1.00	0.318	-.0216638 .0666412
HHSIZE	.0109017	.0958987	0.11	0.909	-.1770562 .1988596
PR_Activi~1	-2.876514	3.117534	-0.92	0.356	-8.986768 3.233741
Source_Lig~2	-5.884986	15.24721	-0.39	0.700	-35.76896 23.99899
Source_Lig~6	-7.919768	15.36769	-0.52	0.606	-38.03989 22.20035
ln_Value_A~s	.5168186	.3555791	1.45	0.146	-.1801037 1.213741
Q12_1_Droi~e	-4.512356	1.590545	-2.84	0.005	-7.629767 -1.394944
ln_Income	-.7681165	.4964123	-1.55	0.122	-1.741067 .2048338
Accestoser~s	1.992476	.5896038	3.38	0.001	.8368738 3.148078
AccestoAid	-.0084665	.1612017	-0.05	0.958	-.3244159 .3074829
AccestoAid~1	.1627902	.1384113	1.18	0.240	-.108491 .4340714
MemberSocNet	3.349686	.9609521	3.49	0.000	1.466254 5.233117
Q17_R302	-2.633178	2.208737	-1.19	0.233	-6.962223 1.695867
Q17_R305	.4459043	2.523549	0.18	0.860	-4.50016 5.391969
Q17_R308	.3473553	1.323173	0.26	0.793	-2.246016 2.940726
Q18_R311	1.356216	1.021452	1.33	0.184	-.6457925 3.358224
Q18_R316	-.106241	10.22988	-0.01	0.992	-20.15643 19.94395
Q19_R324	3.378313	1.421425	2.38	0.017	.5923707 6.164256
Q19_R326	-2.575574	1.101099	-2.34	0.019	-4.733688 -.4174604
Q16_R105	-.6429353	.3268044	-1.97	0.049	-1.28346 -.0024105
Q16_R106	-1.553409	.8667662	-1.79	0.073	-3.252239 .1454218
Q16_R108	1.310381	.8766679	1.49	0.135	-.4078569 3.028618
Q16_R109	3.972464	2.553358	1.56	0.120	-1.032025 8.976953
_cons	2.89291	16.4451	0.18	0.860	-29.33888 35.12471

Note: 39 failures and 15 successes completely determined.

Note: the common support option has been selected  
 The region of common support is [.00917956, 1]

Description of the estimated propensity score  
 in region of common support

Estimated propensity score			
Percentiles	Smallest		
1%	.0091796	.0091796	
5%	.0265509	.0098946	
10%	.0426887	.0104051	Obs 81
25%	.1646211	.0126659	Sum of Wgt. 81
50%	.5774261		Mean .5865798
		Largest	Std. Dev. .4006247
75%	.9999861	1	
90%	1	1	Variance .1605002
95%	1	1	Skewness -.2055605
99%	1	1	Kurtosis 1.347939

\*\*\*\*\*  
 Step 1: Identification of the optimal number of blocks

Use option detail if you want more detailed output  
 \*\*\*\*\*

The final number of blocks is 6  
 This number of blocks ensures that the mean propensity score  
 is not different for treated and controls in each blocks

\*\*\*\*\*  
 Step 2: Test of balancing property of the propensity score  
 Use option detail if you want more detailed output  
 \*\*\*\*\*

The balancing property is satisfied

This table shows the inferior bound, the number of treated  
 and the number of controls for each block

Inferior   of block   of pscore	Treatment	Control	Total
	0	1	
.0091796	22	2	24
.2	6	1	7
.4	6	4	10
.6	0	5	5
.8	0	35	35
Total	34	47	81

Note: the common support option has been selected

\*\*\*\*\*  
 End of the algorithm to estimate the pscore  
 \*\*\*\*\*

Variable	Sample	Treated	Controls	Difference	S.E.	T-stat
Self_assessed_d	Unmatched	10.1276596	10.5376344	-.409974834	1.1024236	-0.37
	ATT	15.6	9.76	5.84	2.90508883	2.01
	ATU	9.44117647	15.6	6.15882353	.	.
	ATE			6.11794872	.	.

Note: S.E. does not take into account that the propensity score is estimated.

PSM per Shock #14 = serious illness

\*\*\*\*\*  
 Algorithm to estimate the propensity score  
 \*\*\*\*\*

The treatment is TreatmentControl

TreatmentCo	Freq.	Percent	Cum.
ntrol			
0	180	56.43	56.43
1	139	43.57	100.00
Total	319	100.00	

Probit regression Number of obs = 209  
LR chi2(24) = 107.66  
Prob > chi2 = 0.0000  
 Log likelihood = -87.377406 Pseudo R2 = 0.3812

TreatmentC~1	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
Iz	-.4897551	.2823792	-1.73	0.083	-1.043208 .0636979
Q3_2_SexeD~f	.1788106	.2525177	0.71	0.479	-.3161151 .6737362
Q3_3_AgeDu~f	-.001399	.0091991	-0.15	0.879	-.0194289 .0166308
HHSize	.0179703	.0277196	0.65	0.517	-.0363592 .0722998
PR_Activi~1	-.8870817	.875466	-1.01	0.311	-2.602964 .8288001
Source_Lig~2	.0005746	.6605857	0.00	0.999	-1.29415 1.295299
Source_Lig~6	.301842	.5077127	0.59	0.552	-.6932566 1.296941
ln_Value_A~s	.1768186	.1208829	1.46	0.144	-.0601075 .4137447
Q12_1_Droi~e	-.056621	.3635399	-0.16	0.876	-.7691461 .655904
ln_Income	-.282537	.1340458	-2.11	0.035	-.545262 -.019812
Accestoser~s	.5714242	.1014848	5.63	0.000	.3725176 .7703307
AccestoAid	.1187411	.0697851	1.70	0.089	-.0180352 .2555175
AccestoAid~1	.0764251	.0606645	1.26	0.208	-.042475 .1953253
Q17_R302	.899932	.5302918	1.70	0.090	-.1394208 1.939285
Q17_R305	.7883353	.4947524	1.59	0.111	-.1813616 1.758032
Q17_R308	.3990043	.4013134	0.99	0.320	-.3875556 1.185564
Q18_R311	-.1170143	.256159	-0.46	0.648	-.6190767 .3850481
Q18_R316	.2715659	.3241493	0.84	0.402	-.363755 .9068868
Q19_R324	1.102973	.751713	1.47	0.142	-.3703578 2.576303
Q19_R326	.7356213	.6958184	1.06	0.290	-.6281577 2.0994
Q16_R105	.038745	.1058542	0.37	0.714	-.1687255 .2462155
Q16_R106	.2404084	.3398116	0.71	0.479	-.4256101 .9064269
Q16_R108	-.4388703	.2813178	-1.56	0.119	-.990243 .1125024
Q16_R109	-.627493	.5310999	-1.18	0.237	-1.66843 .4134438
_cons	-.4754165	2.543548	-0.19	0.852	-5.46068 4.509847

Note: the common support option has been selected  
 The region of common support is [.04250887, .99992639]

Description of the estimated propensity score  
 in region of common support

Percentiles		Smallest		
1%	.0435578	.0425089		
5%	.0671571	.0435578		
10%	.0820636	.0465006	Obs	168
25%	.2697154	.0472683	Sum of Wgt.	168
50%	.5028701		Mean	.5009717
		Largest	Std. Dev.	.2882383
75%	.7296277	.9994106		
90%	.904275	.9998711	Variance	.0830813
95%	.9945161	.9999072	Skewness	.0670703
99%	.9999072	.9999264	Kurtosis	1.912465

\*\*\*\*\*  
 Step 1: Identification of the optimal number of blocks  
 Use option detail if you want more detailed output

\*\*\*\*\*

The final number of blocks is 5

This number of blocks ensures that the mean propensity score is not different for treated and controls in each blocks

\*\*\*\*\*

Step 2: Test of balancing property of the propensity score  
Use option detail if you want more detailed output

\*\*\*\*\*

The balancing property is satisfied

This table shows the inferior bound, the number of treated and the number of controls for each block

Inferior of block of pscore	Treatment	Control	Total
	0	1	
.0425089	29	3	32
.2	23	11	34
.4	19	18	37
.6	10	25	35
.8	2	28	30
Total	83	85	168

Note: the common support option has been selected

\*\*\*\*\*

End of the algorithm to estimate the pscore

\*\*\*\*\*

Variable	Sample	Treated	Controls	Difference	S.E.	T-stat
Self_assessed_d	Unmatched	11.0823529	11.9354839	-.85313093	.895850299	-0.95
	ATT	11.6507937	9.79365079	1.85714286	1.27600743	1.46
	ATU	12.060241	11.1831325	-.877108434	.	.
	ATE			.302739726	.	.

Note: S.E. does not take into account that the propensity score is estimated.

PSM per Shock #18 = Seasonal food shortage

\*\*\*\*\*  
 Algorithm to estimate the propensity score  
 \*\*\*\*\*

The treatment is TreatmentControl

TreatmentCo	Freq.	Percent	Cum.
ntrol			
0	330	62.98	62.98
1	194	37.02	100.00
Total	524	100.00	

Probit regression Number of obs = 355  
LR chi2(28) = 271.32  
Prob > chi2 = 0.0000  
 Log likelihood = -101.98947 Pseudo R2 = 0.5708

TreatmentC~1	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
Iz	-.0320581	.268059	-0.12	0.905	-.5574441 .4933279
Q3_2_SexeD~f	-.3868543	.2295229	-1.69	0.092	-.8367108 .0630022
Q3_3_AgeDu~f	.0101626	.0073572	1.38	0.167	-.0042572 .0245825
HHSize	.0017564	.0263968	0.07	0.947	-.0499805 .0534932
PR_Activi~_1	-.2925812	.9867861	-0.30	0.767	-2.226646 1.641484
PR_Activi~_2	-1.425196	2.889857	-0.49	0.622	-7.089212 4.238821
PR_Activi~5	1.099421	1.411816	0.78	0.436	-1.667688 3.86653
Source_En_6	-.6259821	3.220901	-0.19	0.846	-6.938832 5.686867
Source_Lig~1	-.7216913	.5249019	-1.37	0.169	-1.75048 .3070975
Source_Lig~6	-.5567481	.4434741	-1.26	0.209	-1.425941 .3124452
ln_Value_A~s	.0173083	.0934881	0.19	0.853	-.1659249 .2005415
Q12_1_Droi~e	.3137284	.2917831	1.08	0.282	-.258156 .8856127
ln_Income	.2206031	.1274099	1.73	0.083	-.0291157 .4703219
Accestoser~s	.3415096	.0824079	4.14	0.000	.1799931 .5030262
AccestoAid	-.1390062	.0640482	-2.17	0.030	-.2645385 -.013474
AccestoAid~1	.0208159	.0471953	0.44	0.659	-.0716852 .1133169
MemberSocNet	2.245599	.2618542	8.58	0.000	1.732374 2.758823
Q17_R302	.5359676	.2974335	1.80	0.072	-.0469914 1.118927
Q17_R305	.0606743	.306743	0.20	0.843	-.5405309 .6618796
Q17_R308	-.2681345	.3021128	-0.89	0.375	-.8602646 .3239957
Q18_R311	.0128149	.2373013	0.05	0.957	-.4522871 .4779168
Q18_R316	-.7572187	.2785751	-2.72	0.007	-1.303216 -.2112214
Q19_R324	.2255017	.2606982	0.86	0.387	-.2854573 .7364608
Q19_R326	-.0536157	.2544614	-0.21	0.833	-.5523509 .4451196
Q16_R105	-.0398751	.1256923	-0.32	0.751	-.2862275 .2064772
Q16_R106	-.3809311	.255754	-1.49	0.136	-.8821997 .1203376
Q16_R108	-.1213834	.270375	-0.45	0.653	-.6513087 .4085419
Q16_R109	-.6086627	.3981368	-1.53	0.126	-1.388997 .1716711
_cons	-4.12655	2.099754	-1.97	0.049	-8.241991 -.0111087

Note: 0 failures and 14 successes completely determined.

Note: the common support option has been selected  
 The region of common support is [.01654053, 1]

Description of the estimated propensity score  
 in region of common support

Estimated propensity score					
Percentiles		Smallest			
1%	.0172473	.0165405			
5%	.0217645	.0169767			
10%	.0279777	.0171899	Obs	300	
25%	.1015874	.0173047	Sum of Wgt.	300	
50%	.3485781		Mean	.46434	
		Largest	Std. Dev.	.3799238	
75%	.9183736	1			
90%	.9998497	1	Variance	.1443421	
95%	1	1	Skewness	.2894546	
99%	1	1	Kurtosis	1.427297	



\*\*\*\*\*  
 Step 1: Identification of the optimal number of blocks  
 Use option detail if you want more detailed output  
 \*\*\*\*\*

The final number of blocks is 7

This number of blocks ensures that the mean propensity score  
 is not different for treated and controls in each blocks

\*\*\*\*\*  
 Step 2: Test of balancing property of the propensity score  
 Use option detail if you want more detailed output  
 \*\*\*\*\*

The balancing property is satisfied

This table shows the inferior bound, the number of treated  
 and the number of controls for each block

Inferior of block of pscore	Treatment	Control	Total
	0	1	
.0165405	102	10	112
.2	36	12	48
.4	10	15	25
.6	10	11	21
.8	3	24	27
.9	0	67	67
Total	161	139	300

Note: the common support option has been selected

\*\*\*\*\*  
 End of the algorithm to estimate the pscore  
 \*\*\*\*\*

Variable	Sample	Treated	Controls	Difference	S.E.	T-stat
Self_assessed_d	Unmatched	8.54676259	7.875	.67176259	.515319189	1.30
	ATT	8.27868852	6.94754098	1.33114754	.819806721	1.62
	ATU	7.59627329	7.80869565	.21242236	.	.
	ATE			.51981982	.	.

Note: S.E. does not take into account that the propensity score is estimated.

# Appendix 8. PSM intermediary calculations and models for Hypothesis 3.

## PSM per Food Security Index (FSI)

```
*****
Algorithm to estimate the propensity score
*****
The treatment is TC
```

RECODE of Q2_Intensit e (Q2_Intensit te)	Freq.	Percent	Cum.
0	812	54.21	54.21
1	686	45.79	100.00
Total	1,498	100.00	

```
Probit regression                                Number of obs =          769
LR chi2(31) =          632.77
Prob > chi2 =          0.0000
Log likelihood = -215.44448                      Pseudo R2 =          0.5949
```

TC	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
LZ	.3057571	.1672423	1.83	0.068	-.0220319 .633546
Q3_2_SexeD~f	-.2094633	.1568851	-1.34	0.182	-.5169524 .0980258
Q3_3_AgeDu~f	.0027569	.0052945	0.52	0.603	-.00762 .0131339
HHSize	-.0004024	.0147939	-0.03	0.978	-.0293979 .0285931
PR_Activi~_1	-.2917432	.5067803	-0.58	0.565	-1.285014 .701528
PR_Activi~_2	-1.127231	1.571262	-0.72	0.473	-4.206847 1.952385
PR_Activit~4	.0431552	.6237301	0.07	0.945	-1.179333 1.265644
PR_Activit~5	.3015774	.9068507	0.33	0.739	-1.475817 2.078972
PR_Activit~6	-.0776581	.9483762	-0.08	0.935	-1.936441 1.781125
PR_Activit~7	-.1222327	1.77869	-0.07	0.945	-3.608402 3.363936
PR_Activi~11	.469238	.8585732	0.55	0.585	-1.213535 2.152011
Source_Lig~1	-.8624934	.2964238	-2.91	0.004	-1.443473 -.2815134
Source_Lig~6	-.7053247	.2498368	-2.82	0.005	-1.194996 -.2156536
ln_Value_A~s	-.0106092	.0644709	-0.16	0.869	-.1369698 .1157514
Q12_1_Droi~e	.3253531	.1933139	1.68	0.092	-.0535353 .7042414
ln_Income	-.0569128	.0711287	-0.80	0.424	-.1963225 .0824968
Accestoser~s	.2989605	.0494727	6.04	0.000	.2019957 .3959253
AccestoAid	-.0569284	.0318648	-1.79	0.074	-.1193822 .0055254
AccestoAid~1	.0674495	.0341545	1.97	0.048	.000508 .1343911
MemberSocNet	2.18607	.1765552	12.38	0.000	1.840028 2.532112
Q17_R302	.1460315	.194952	0.75	0.454	-.2360673 .5281303
Q17_R305	-.2657866	.215823	-1.23	0.218	-.6887919 .1572187
Q17_R308	.0811089	.1774081	0.46	0.648	-.2666045 .4288224
Q18_R311	.0881929	.1561375	0.56	0.572	-.2178311 .3942168
Q18_R316	-.3824989	.1631497	-2.34	0.019	-.7022664 -.0627315
Q19_R324	-.441608	.17474	-2.53	0.011	-.7840922 -.0991238
Q19_R326	.4593911	.1708228	2.69	0.007	.1245845 .7941977
Q16_R105	.2541367	.0693338	3.67	0.000	.1182449 .3900285
Q16_R106	-.0932983	.1721668	-0.54	0.588	-.430739 .2441424
Q16_R108	-.5108989	.1836934	-2.78	0.005	-.8709313 -.1508665
Q16_R109	-.1786934	.2357175	-0.76	0.448	-.6406912 .2833043
_cons	-.8470324	1.236363	-0.69	0.493	-3.270259 1.576194

Note: 0 failures and 55 successes completely determined.

Note: the common support option has been selected  
The region of common support is [.0098755, 1]  
Description of the estimated propensity score  
in region of common support

Estimated propensity score	
Percentiles	Smallest

```

1%      .0120935      .0098755
5%      .021665      .0099225
10%     .0334045      .0100263      Obs          724
25%     .0990815      .0108144      Sum of Wgt.   724

50%     .4140744
                Largest      Mean          .5040077
                1            Std. Dev.    .3970456
75%     .9759751      1            Variance     .1576452
90%     .9999994      1            Skewness    .1122622
95%     1            1            Kurtosis    1.282199
99%     1            1

```

```

*****
Step 1: Identification of the optimal number of blocks
Use option detail if you want more detailed output
*****

```

The final number of blocks is 7

This number of blocks ensures that the mean propensity score is not different for treated and controls in each blocks

```

*****
Step 2: Test of balancing property of the propensity score
Use option detail if you want more detailed output
*****

```

The balancing property is satisfied

This table shows the inferior bound, the number of treated and the number of controls for each block

Inferior of block of pscore	RECODE of Q2_Intensite (Q2_Intensite)		Total
	0	1	
.0098755	242	28	270
.2	73	17	90
.4	25	19	44
.6	13	44	57
.8	7	64	71
.9	1	191	192
Total	361	363	724

Note: the common support option has been selected

```

*****
End of the algorithm to estimate the pscore
*****

```

Variable	Sample	Treated	Controls	Difference	S.E.	T-stat
FSI	Unmatched	8.4738292	8.52955665	-.055727449	.106000456	-0.53
	ATT	8.49358974	8.76923077	-.275641026	.253592403	-1.09
	ATU	8.52631579	7.79168975	-.734626039	.	.
	ATE			-.596131528	.	.

Note: S.E. does not take into account that the propensity score is estimated.

**PSM per Food Diversity Index (FDI)**

\*\*\*\*\*  
 Algorithm to estimate the propensity score  
 \*\*\*\*\*  
 The treatment is TC

RECODE of	Freq.	Percent	Cum.
Q2_Intensit			
e			
(Q2_Intensi			
te)			
-----+-----			
0	812	54.21	54.21
1	686	45.79	100.00
-----+-----			
Total	1,498	100.00	

Probit regression Number of obs = 769  
 LR chi2(31) = 632.77  
 Prob > chi2 = 0.0000  
 Log likelihood = -215.44448 Pseudo R2 = 0.5949

TC	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
LZ	.3057571	.1672423	1.83	0.068	-.0220319 .633546
Q3_2_SexeD~f	-.2094633	.1568851	-1.34	0.182	-.5169524 .0980258
Q3_3_AgeDu~f	.0027569	.0052945	0.52	0.603	-.00762 .0131339
HHSize	-.0004024	.0147939	-0.03	0.978	-.0293979 .0285931
PR_Activi~_1	-.2917432	.5067803	-0.58	0.565	-1.285014 .701528
PR_Activi~_2	-1.127231	1.571262	-0.72	0.473	-4.206847 1.952385
PR_Activit~4	.0431552	.6237301	0.07	0.945	-1.179333 1.265644
PR_Activit~5	.3015774	.9068507	0.33	0.739	-1.475817 2.078972
PR_Activit~6	-.0776581	.9483762	-0.08	0.935	-1.936441 1.781125
PR_Activit~7	-.1222327	1.77869	-0.07	0.945	-3.608402 3.363936
PR_Activi~11	.469238	.8585732	0.55	0.585	-1.213535 2.152011
Source_Lig~2	.8624934	.2964238	2.91	0.004	.2815134 1.443473
Source_Lig~6	.1571687	.2274386	0.69	0.490	-.2886028 .6029402
ln Value_A~s	-.0106092	.0644709	-0.16	0.869	-.1369698 .1157514
Q12_1_Droi~e	.3253531	.1933139	1.68	0.092	-.0535353 .7042414
ln Income	-.0569128	.0711287	-0.80	0.424	-.1963225 .0824968
Accetoser~s	.2989605	.0494727	6.04	0.000	.2019957 .3959253
AccestoAid	-.0569284	.0318648	-1.79	0.074	-.1193822 .0055254
AccestoAid~1	.0674495	.0341545	1.97	0.048	.000508 .1343911
MemberSocNet	2.18607	.1765552	12.38	0.000	1.840028 2.532112
Q17_R302	.1460315	.194952	0.75	0.454	-.2360673 .5281303
Q17_R305	-.2657866	.215823	-1.23	0.218	-.6887919 .1572187
Q17_R308	.0811089	.1774081	0.46	0.648	-.2666045 .4288224
Q18_R311	.0881929	.1561375	0.56	0.572	-.2178311 .3942168
Q18_R316	-.3824989	.1631497	-2.34	0.019	-.7022664 -.0627315
Q19_R324	-.441608	.17474	-2.53	0.011	-.7840922 -.0991238
Q19_R326	.4593911	.1708228	2.69	0.007	.1245845 .7941977
Q16_R105	.2541367	.0693338	3.67	0.000	.1182449 .3900285
Q16_R106	-.0932983	.1721668	-0.54	0.588	-.430739 .2441424
Q16_R108	-.5108989	.1836934	-2.78	0.005	-.8709313 -.1508665
Q16_R109	-.1786934	.2357175	-0.76	0.448	-.6406912 .2833043
_cons	-1.709526	1.240323	-1.38	0.168	-4.140514 .7214626

Note: 0 failures and 55 successes completely determined.

Note: the common support option has been selected  
 The region of common support is [.0098755, 1]

Description of the estimated propensity score  
 in region of common support

Estimated propensity score					
-----					
Percentiles	Smallest				
1%	.0120935	.0098755			
5%	.021665	.0099225			
10%	.0334045	.0100263	Obs	724	
25%	.0990815	.0108144	Sum of Wgt.	724	
50%	.4140744		Mean	.5040077	
75%	.9759751	Largest	Std. Dev.	.3970456	
90%	.9999994	1	Variance	.1576452	

```

95%          1          1      Skewness    .1122622
99%          1          1      Kurtosis    1.282199

```

```

*****
Step 1: Identification of the optimal number of blocks
Use option detail if you want more detailed output
*****

```

The final number of blocks is 7

This number of blocks ensures that the mean propensity score is not different for treated and controls in each blocks

```

*****
Step 2: Test of balancing property of the propensity score
Use option detail if you want more detailed output
*****

```

The balancing property is satisfied

This table shows the inferior bound, the number of treated and the number of controls for each block

Inferior of block of pscore	RECODE of Q2_Intensite (Q2_Intensite)		Total
	0	1	
.0098755	242	28	270
.2	73	17	90
.4	25	19	44
.6	13	44	57
.8	7	64	71
.9	1	191	192
Total	361	363	724

Note: the common support option has been selected

```

*****
End of the algorithm to estimate the pscore
*****

```

Variable	Sample	Treated	Controls	Difference	S.E.	T-stat
FDI	Unmatched	5.49311295	4.90640394	.586709007	.122592621	4.79
	ATT	5.51282051	5.26025641	.252564103	.280158742	0.90
	ATU	4.93905817	5.433241	.494182825	.	.
	ATE			.421276596	.	.

Note: S.E. does not take into account that the propensity score is estimated.

This material has been funded by UK aid from the UK government; however the views expressed do not necessarily reflect the UK government's official policies.

